Fabian Fischer,† Alexander F. Siegle,[∥] Marek Checinski,§ Christine Fischer,† Karolin Kral,† Richard Thede, \perp Oliver Trapp,^{||} and Marko Hapke^{*,†,‡}

†Leibniz-Institut für Katalyse e.V. an der Universität Rostock (L[IKA](#page-14-0)T), Albert-Einstein-Straße 29a, D-18059 Rostock, Germany ‡ Johannes Kepler Universität Linz, Institut für Katalyse, Altenberger Straße 69, A-4040 Linz, Austria

§ CreativeQuantum GmbH (Adlershof), Wegedornstrasse 32, D-12524 Berlin, Germany

 $^\perp$ Institut für Biochemie, Universität Greifswald, Felix-Hausdorff-Straße 4, D-17487 Greifswald, Germany

∥ Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Universitat Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany ̈

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-14-0)STRACT: [A series of di](#page-14-0)fferent unsymmetrically substituted naphthyl-based diynes were synthesized. These substrates formed the foundation for the assembly of novel biaryls containing pyridine moieties with differently substituted fivemembered rings in the backbone of the newly formed heterobiaryl system. The key step for their efficient construction was the photo- and cobalt-catalyzed $\begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2 + 2 \end{bmatrix}$ cycloaddition reaction between the corresponding naphthyldiyne and acetoor benzonitrile. The heterobiaryl products have been isolated

and investigated with respect to the configurational stability of their biaryl axis using dynamic chiral HPLC; subtle effects of the substitution pattern on the stability of the axis were observed. For several compounds the activation barriers (ΔG^\ddagger) of racemization were determined. Suitable substitution of the five-membered ring backbone exemplarily allowed the Co-catalyzed enantioselective cyclization to yield the enantiomerically enriched heterobiaryl.

■ INTRODUCTION

The connecting axis between two aromatic ring systems, simply referred to as the biaryl axis, belongs to the most fascinating structural and stereochemical features of biaryl compounds.¹ The biaryls comprise a steadily expanding class of compounds and represent a structural motif found in numerous natural pro[du](#page-14-0)cts² as well as in ligand systems used for catalysis.³ Recently, atropisomers and the configurational stability of the chiral ax[es](#page-14-0) have gained increasing attention in medicinal che[mis](#page-14-0)try due to the fact that atropisomers can play a significant structural role in drug potency, which might be an often overlooked phenomenon.4 The development of efficient synthetic methodologies for the asymmetric assembly of biaryls has become a flourishing area of [sy](#page-14-0)nthetic organic chemistry over the past decade. In addition to strategies utilizing chiral auxiliaries to induce stereoselectivity during formation of the biaryl axis, direct asymmetric coupling methodologies of two aryl fragments or the stereoselective synthesis of one moiety in the atropisomers have found great interest. 5 For the direct asymmetric coupling methods, enantioselective oxidative coupling, as well as cross-coupling reaction[s](#page-14-0) such as enantioselective Suzuki−Miyaura reactions are worth mentioning.^{6,7} Over the past decade de novo construction of chiral arene systems by cycloaddition approaches has attained considerable inter[est](#page-14-0) and especially $\begin{bmatrix} 2+2+2 \end{bmatrix}$ cycloaddition

reactions have matured from the reports of single examples with preformed stereocenters into a methodology using chiral transition metal complexes for the assembly of chiral biaryl frameworks from achiral substrates.⁸

We have investigated the use of chiral Co(I)-complexes for the photochemical, enantioselective $\begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2 + 2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2 + 2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2 + 2 \end{bmatrix}$ cycloaddition reaction of 1-naphthyldiynes with different nitriles, providing enantioselective access to substituted biaryls possessing a tetrahydroisoquinoline fragment.⁹ During our studies it was established that the size of the spacer between the two alkyne bonds in the substrate, which [la](#page-14-0)ter defines the size of the saturated backbone ring annulated to the newly formed pyridine ring, obviously plays a significant role in both the reactivity and selectivity of the cyclization reaction. Biaryls with newly formed six-membered rings in the backbone of the pyridine were obtained in good yields and enantioselectivities, while biaryls with five-membered ring in the backbones were only obtained as racemates. At first glance, the reason for the lack of selectivity might be assigned to obvious structural parameters of the product, such as the size of the annulated five-membered ring, providing significantly less steric hindrance compared to a six-

Received: September 18, 2015 Published: March 21, 2016

<u>Article</u> pubs.acs.org/joc membered ring. Investigations published so far on pyridinecontaining heterobiaryls most often utilize isoquinoline moieties, leading to rather stable heterobiaryls. For some of these systems, the free activation energy barrier has been determined with different methodologies.

We were interested in studying the configurational stability of the biaryl axis in molec[ule](#page-14-0)s of the general structure shown in Scheme 1 and how this stability can be influenced by substituents

Scheme 1. Effect of Backbone Substituents on the Stability of the Biaryl Axis of Naphthylpyridines

on the five-membered ring. In view of the large application of biaryl systems such a systematic examination might also be generally interesting for the conceptual design of new biaryl systems. In addition, such an investigation into the backbone modification offers a higher degree of structural variability than functionalization of the pyridine N atom in the ortho-position, which is usually restricted to oxidation (N-oxide) or alkylation. The dynamic stereochemical behavior of such structures can be studied with a variety of chromatographic methods, e.g., enantioselective dynamic HPLC or gas chromatography. These studies aim to reveal the impact of small structural variations in the backbone ring on the stability of the biaryl axis under mild and accessible conditions. The selection of the 2-methoxynaphthalin backbone resulted from our experience in previous studies and the easy access to key intermediates for the synthesis of the required derivatives. In addition, the naphthyl moiety is a very common component of numerous biaryl systems. We were also retaining the pyridine core due to the obvious importance of the pyridine backbone substitution for the configurational stability and therefore to elicit the impact of different structural changes for so far unknown five-membered ring derivatives in contrast to usually investigated isoquinoline derivatives.

The influence of substitution in the backbone of the pyridine ring on the configurational stability of the biaryl axis can generally be envisioned in different ways (Scheme 1). These substitution effects, however, should be clearly distinguishable. Certainly, the functionalization of the *ortho-position* $(A \text{ in Scheme } 1)$ has the most essential steric, and potentially electronic, impact on the stability of the biaryl axis, as it is already textbook knowledge. Even smaller substituents here should have an observable effect on the stability. Position B should be much less important for administering stability to the chiral axis. Finally, the introduction of substituents in position C will obviously have no steric influence due to its remote position in the backbone, but potentially have a possible electronic factor.

In the present study we set out to investigate the steric effect of substitution in the A position, neighboring the pyridine ring as well as an exemplarily look into an electronic effect of different groups in the C position. This synthetic endeavor requires the efficient assembly of a range of differently functionalized diynes for the first time. These diynes will be substrates for the synthesis of the corresponding heterobiaryls by applying $[2 + 2 + 2]$

cycloadditions as the key reaction step. Subsequent studies regarding the stereochemical features of the biaryl axis will provide the required information about the configurational stability.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of the Substituted Unsymmetrical Diynes. To study the steric effects of potential substituents, an array of differently and unsymmetrically substituted diynes as precursor molecules for the cyclization reaction were prepared. The preparation of arylalkynes is a highly efficient synthetic methodology, usually following the Sonogashira protocol. $¹¹$ </sup> Unfortunately, when it comes to the coupling of aryl halides with diynes, selectivity issues begin to have an effect on the reacti[on](#page-14-0) outcome, usually leading to both mono- and bisarylation. The coupling of unsymmetrical terminal diynes also faces potential selectivity issues due to the fact that in the Sonogashira reaction more electron-rich alkyne groups are usually coupled preferentially. Therefore, the synthetic pathways for unsymmetrical diynes follow a stepwise construction approach, to allow the incorporation of a variety of various functionalities like methyl, carbonyl, malonyl or alkenyl groups, which later constitute the A position substituent.

Common synthethic starting materials for our purposes are 1 iodo-2-methoxynaphthalene (1) or the derived 1-ethinyl-2 methoxynaphthalene (2) .^{9b} The direct synthesis of monoarylated diynes using symmetrical terminal diyne precursor molecules and aromatic [h](#page-14-0)alides like 1 generally uses the Sonogashira protocol. The monoarylation reaction of 1 with 2,4,6-trimethyl-N,N-di(prop-2-ynyl)aniline (3) or 1,3-diethynyltetramethyldisiloxane (5) is exemplified in Scheme 2.

Compared to often high-yielding Sonogashira reactions without selectivity issues, the isolated yields for biaryls 4 and 6

Scheme 2. Synthesis of the Monoarylated Diynes 4 and 6 by Sonogashira Reaction of 1 with Diynes 3 (Mes = 2,4,6- Trimethylphenyl) or 5^a

a The isolated yields are shown. Reaction condition 1: 2,4,6-Trimethyl- N , N -di(prop-2-ynyl)aniline (3, 3.0 equiv), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5 mol %), Cul (15 mol %), THF, Et₃N, 50 °C, 14 h. Reaction condition 2: 1,3-Diethynyltetramethyldisiloxane (5, 2.0 equiv), Pd_2dba_3 ·CHCl₃ (6 mol %), Cul (15 mol %), dppf (1,1′-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene, 6.5 mol %), THF, 45 °C, 24 h.

a
Alkyne 2, n-BuLi, −78 °C, then −78 °C, addition of propargyl" are solved yields. The same of propargyl" and reagents: (a1) Alkyne 2, n-BuLi, −78 °C, 0 °C, then −78 °C, addition of propargyl chloroformate, 0 °C to rt. (a2) Propargyl alcohol, DMAP (4-dimethylaminopyridine), DCC (N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide), CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, then rt. (b) n-BuLi, THF, −78 °C, then rt for 30 min, then −78 °C, methyl(prop-2-ynyl)carbamic chloride, rt. (c1) 2-Methylbut-3-yn-2-amine, Pd(PPh3)4 (2 mol %), Cul (6 mol %), Et₃N, 55 °C, 14 h. (c2) K₂CO₃, DMF, propargyl bromide, 100 °C, 18 h. (c3) K₂CO₃, DMF, MeI, 80 °C, 24 h. (c4) 4-Methyl-N-(2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide, Pd(PPh₃)₄ (5 mol %), ZnCl₂ (20 mol %), I₂ (cat.), piperidine, 65 °C, 20 h. (c5) NaH, DMF, rt, 1h, then propargyl bromide, rt, 16 h. (d1) Et₃N, DMSO, (COCl)₂, −78 °C, then rt. (d2) Alkyne 2, n-BuLi, −78 °C, 0 °C, then −78 °C, addition of 16, rt. (d3) Dess–Martin periodinane, CH₂Cl₂, rt. (e1) NaI, CH₃CN, TMSCl, H₂O, rt, 15 min; 1,5-hexadiyne, CH₃CN, rt, 16 h. (e2) Pd(PPh₃)₄ (4.8 mol %), CuI (4.9 mol %), alkyne 2, i-Pr₂NH, rt, 4 h. (e3) DMF, 0 °C, then NaHMDS soln. (0.6 M), 10 min. (f1) Alkyne 2, PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (3.8 mol %), CuI (7.6 mol %), Et3N, 50 °C, 36 h. (f2) Trimethylsilylacetylene, n-BuLi, 78 °C, rt for 75 min, then −78 °C, addition of 24, −78 °C to rt, workup; then MeOH/THF (1:1), KF (6 equiv), rt, 16 h.

are rather low, resulting from the significant formation of the diarylated products (not shown). This problem can generally be circumvented by a protection−cross-coupling−deprotection approach, as it has been demonstrated for other symmetrical diynes.¹²

The synthesis of diynes with unsymmetrical backbones poses greater synthetic challenges caused by the need to construct the diyne backbone stepwise. Initial investigations into the possibility of the selective Sonogashira coupling of 1 with unsymmetrically substituted diynes did not prove to be a useful route to obtain

Table 1. Synthesis of the Heterobiaryls by Photo- and Co(I)-catalyzed $[2 + 2 + 2]$ Cycloaddition Reaction of Diynes with Nitriles

Table 1. continued

 a Isolated yields. b The cycloaddition was performed under microwave conditions at 140 °C in toluene with $[{\rm CpCo}(trans\text{-}{\rm MeO}_{2}{\rm CHC}$ CHCO₂Me) $\{P(OEt)_3\}$ as catalyst. The cycloaddition was performed at 100 °C in toluene with $[CpCo(trans-MeO_2CHC=CHCO_2Me)\}$ (OE) ₃)] as catalyst. The product contained ca. 20% of the compound with the *endo*-isomerized double bond. ^{*d*} After workup of the reaction mixture, purification by chromatography on silica gel yielded the expected 36 and ketone 37.

products with the envisioned substitution pattern.¹³ The preparative procedures for the unsymmetrical diynes 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 18, 22 and 25 are detailed in Scheme 3. The syn[the](#page-15-0)sis of diynylester 8 was found to be feasible either from alkyne 2 or carboxylic acid 7. Thus, using 2 as a [starting m](#page-2-0)aterial, lithiation followed by quenching with propargyl chloroformate yielded ester 8 in 76% yield. The preparation of 8 from 7 and propargyl alcohol was possible under standard coupling conditions using DMAP and DCC, however, resulting in lower yield (67%). Applying identical conditions as the latter for the preparation of amide 9 from 7 and N-methy-N-propargylamine also gave t[he](#page-15-0) desired compound. Unfortunately the complete separation from the resulting coupling reaction byproducts proved to be very difficult. Alternatively, the synthesis of amide 9 was conducted by the reaction of the lithiated naphthylacetylene 2 with methyl- (prop-2-ynyl)carbamic chloride.¹⁵ Furthermore, preparation of the bis-propargylated amines 11 and 12 started with the Sonogashira coupling of 2-met[hyl](#page-15-0)but-3-yn-2-amine with iodide 1, providing 10 in excellent yield. The subsequent derivatization with propargyl bromide provided the desired secondary amine 11 in 55% yield, as well as the bis-propargylated tertiary amine as minor byproduct. Isolated 11 was submitted to subsequent alkylation with methyl iodide, furnishing 12 in modest yield. The more straightforward synthesis of the N-tosylated diyne 14 initially involved cross-coupling of the tosylated 2-methylbut-3 yn-2-amine with iodide 1 to deliver product 13 in 83% yield.¹⁶ Subsequent propargylation of this intermediate building block proceeded in an excellent yield of 93%, allowing the isolation [of](#page-15-0) the desired diyne 14. Preparation of ketodiyne 18 started with the conversion of 4-propyn-1-ol (15) to the corresponding aldehyde 16 by Swern oxidation. Reaction of 16 with lithiated 2 gave the hydroxylated diyne 17, from which 18 was easily accessible via oxidation under convenient conditions with Dess− Martin periodinane.¹⁷

The synthesis of enediyne 22 was initially attempted by olefination from 18 [u](#page-15-0)sing Wittig or Tebbe reagents. Unfortunately, the reaction mostly gave a mixture 18 and 22, which were difficult to separate. A new approach was then initiated with the double hydroiodination of 1,5-hexadiyne (19) affording bisolefin 20. Interestingly, we were only able to isolate 20 despite various attempts to change the amount of hydroiodination reagent in order to obtain the corresponding monohydroiodination product.

In the following step, a Sonogashira coupling under slow addition of 2 to the reaction solution furnished 21 with 44% yield. Finally, the elimination reaction applying NaHMDS in DMF solution proceeded smoothly to give the desired enediyne 22 in high yield. The synthesis of diyne 25 possessing an annulated phenyl ring in the A and B position (Scheme 1) was envisioned to provide a compound with a large steric hindrance between these two positions. Several synthetic [approache](#page-1-0)s for related diynes were investigated but the one presented starting from 2-bromobenzaldehyd (23) was identified as the most efficient and reliable.¹⁸ Starting from 23 the Sonogashira reaction with 2 delivered 24 in good yield (77%). Subsequent reaction with in situ-prepare[d l](#page-15-0)ithium trimethylsilylacetylide followed by desilylation of the crude product delivered the intended precursor diyne 25. Having this selection of differently substituted diynes in sufficient amounts in hand, we were then able to investigate the Co(I)-catalyzed $[2 + 2 + 2]$ cycloaddition reactions and subsequently, the configurational stability of the atropisomers' chiral axes.

[2 + 2 + 2] Cycloaddition Reactions of the Diynes with **Nitriles.** The $\begin{bmatrix} 2 + 2 + 2 \end{bmatrix}$ cycloaddition under photochemical conditions using $[CpCo(COD)]$ (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) has proven to be an excellent method for the cyclization of acetylenes or diynes with nitriles, resulting in, for example, the formation of heterobiaryls with five- and six-membered rings annulated to the newly formed pyridine.⁹ Consequently, we applied $[CpCo(COD)]$ for most syntheses of racemic mixtures of the heterobiaryls for subsequent a[na](#page-14-0)lysis by dynamic chromatography methods. The cycloaddition reactions were performed in a photoreactor usually at temperatures between 0 and 25 °C, using either benzonitrile or in a single case acetonitrile in excess amounts.⁹ The cycloaddition reactions furnished the desired heterobiaryls in moderate to good yields (Table 1). The products could easi[ly](#page-14-0) be isolated by column chromatography and in some cases unreacted diyne was recovered and [recycled](#page-3-0). The use of MeCN instead of PhCN led to the formation of the methylated pyridine 28 in significantly higher yield (Table 1, heterobiaryls 27 and 28, entries 2 and 3).²

In several cases (Entries 4, 8 and 11) the cycliza[tion was](#page-3-0) additionally performed under thermal [or](#page-15-0) microwave (MW) conditions using the novel air-stable catalyst $[CpCo(trans MeO₂CHC=CHCO₂Me){P(OEt)₃},$ leading to the desired products in moderate to good yields.¹⁹ However, in the case of lactone 29, a minor yield was observed under microwave conditions (21%), which can be attri[but](#page-15-0)ed to the decomposition of the ester moiety under these reaction conditions. While most compounds are stable solids after isolation, the mesitylsubstituted amine 24, as well as the disiloxane-derived compounds 27 and 28 were isolated as oils. Cyclization of 14 with PhCN under microwave conditions furnished 33 in 71% yield. The cyclization of enediyne 22 indeed gave the expected product 35, containing the exocyclic double bond and only very minor amounts of the internal isomerization product with an endocyclic double bond.

In the case of naphthyldiynol 25 the reaction delivered a mixture of products with both conventional heating or under microwave conditions. Diastereomers of the expected benzylic alcohol 36 and unexpected ketone 37 were formed. Formation of 37 is easy recognizable from the intense yellow color of the compound. This unusual direct oxidation under cycloaddition conditions was also observed when O-acetylated diynol 25 was subjected to the cycloaddition reaction under photochemical conditions.

Alcohol 36 was formed as a mixture of diastereomeric atropisomers due to the presence of the stereogenic carbon atom carrying the hydroxyl group. Accordingly, further functional group conversions were executed to eliminate this additional racemic stereo center to gain access to either ketone 37 or the reduced compound 38. Because we had the alcohol 36

already in our hand, the derivative 38 containing a methylene group was targeted (Scheme 4). Therefore, 36 was initially

Scheme 4. Transformation of Primary Cyclization Product 36 into the Oxidized Keto-Form 37 and the Reduced Methylene-Containing Heterobiaryl 38^a

^aReaction conditions: (a) TsCl, NEt₃, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to rt; then LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 0 °C to rt. (b) N₂H₄·H₂O, diglyme, MW, 180 °C, 4 h (MW = microwave).

transformed into the tosylate ester with tosyl chloride. The subsequent reduction with $LiAlH₄$, however, did not work in our case to finally afford 38. An alternative approach starting from ketone 37 via Wolff−Kishner reduction under microwave conditions initially proved to be troublesome after the successful formation of the hydrazide intermediate and subsequent reaction with aqueous KOH solution. Under the chosen conditions, a possible decomposition to undesired side-products was a major process. An alternative approach applying an excess of hydrazine hydrate without added base at 180 °C in diglyme under microwave conditions was finally successful and furnished the desired compound 38 in 83% yield.²¹ As indicated in Scheme 4, compound 38 slowly reoxidizes in solution to ketone 37, which might also explain the favored [is](#page-15-0)olation of 38 from the cyclotrimerization reaction (see Table 1).²² From these transformations we were able to obtain two heterobiaryls (37 and 38) possessing an annulated ph[enyl grou](#page-3-0)p [in](#page-15-0) the backbone of the newly formed biaryl system and, in addition, exhibiting two electronically different substituents in the C position (compare Scheme 1), whose influence on the biaryl axis stability should be possible to study.

We were able to corroborate the molecular structure of [compound](#page-1-0) 37 by X-ray structure analysis of suitable crystals which were obtained by recrystallization from THF (Figure 1). The structure displays the nearly perpendicular torsion of the planar naphthyl and pyridine moieties.

Figure 1. Molecular structure of racemic biaryl 37 (hydrogens omitted for clarity, ellipsoids with 30% probability).

Investigation of Configurational Stabilities of the Heterobiaryl Axes. Investigation of the rotational barriers of atropisomerization in biaryls can be performed using spectroscopic (e.g., dynamic NMR), chiroptical (e.g., polarimetry, circular dichroism) or chromatographic methods (enantioselective dynamic HPLC or GC).^{1,23} In the case of stable isolable enantiomers, the individual stereoisomer can be heated at certain temperatures and the conversi[o](#page-14-0)[n i](#page-15-0)nto the opposite enantiomer is easily determined by HPLC or GC using chiral phases. From the obtained data, the half-life time and rotational barriers can be derived, as it was exemplified early on for 1,1′-binaphthyl and 1,1′-binaphthyl-2,2′-diol (BINOL) and later corroborated by theoretical calculations. 24 Especially the configurational stability of BINOL, possessing a high barrier of rotation of up to ΔG^{\ddagger} = 158 kJ mol⁻¹, caused b[y th](#page-15-0)e tetra-ortho-substituted biaryl system has made it one of the most frequently utilized axially chiral structure elements for synthetic and catalytic purposes.²⁵

The successful synthesis of heterobiaryls 26−35, 37 and 38 (Table 1) gave us the opportunity to investigate these [fo](#page-15-0)rmally tri-ortho-substituted naphthylpyridines. Initial assessment of the [activation](#page-3-0) barrier of interconversion of the compound containing the pure carbocyclic, unsubstituted ring (see Scheme 1, A, B, C = CH₂ and compound 39 in Figure 1) gave a $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 89$ kJ mol⁻¹ (20 °C) from numerical approximation of t[he HPLC](#page-1-0) data. $9b,29b$ This value corroborates that the component is configurationally labile at room temperature, according to a general estim[ate](#page-14-0) [of](#page-15-0) ΔG^{\ddagger} = 96 kJ mol⁻¹ necessary for biaryl configurational stability at this temperature. 26 It was expected that when introducing stabilizing structural changes in the molecule's backbone, these should be visible [th](#page-15-0)rough corresponding changes in energetics for the biaryl axis activation barrier for racemization. The convenient tool we applied here was enantioselective dynamic HPLC analysis to determine the rate constants of interconversion k, the interconversion barriers ΔG^{\ddagger} and the activation parameters ΔH^{\ddagger} and ΔS^{\ddagger} from temperature-dependent measurements.27−²⁹

Initial attempts to separate the N-mesityl-substituted heterobiaryl [26](#page-15-0) [via](#page-15-0) HPLC on different chiral phases unfortunately did not lead to a successful separation of atropisomers, possibly pointing to a molecule with low configurational stability leading to peak coalescence or due to lack of enantioselectivity of the chiral stationary phases. Therefore, no stabilization compared to the completely unsubstituted five-membered carbocycle 39 could be accounted for. Investigations of the compounds 27 and 28 with the symmetrically substituted disiloxane backbone were more successful and a stabilizing effect of the tetramethylsubstituted disiloxane moiety was clearly visible. While in 39, already at 20 $^{\circ} \textrm{C},$ the formation of a plateau between the signals shows the increase in the number of molecules with unhindered rotation around the biaryl axis, a similar observation for 27 and 28 requires temperatures of up to 40 °C. Loss of configurational stability of the heterobiaryl's chiral axis was observed at 60 °C (Figure 2). In addition, and in accordance with the observations from 27 and 28, a potential electronic or steric influence of the s[ubstituen](#page-6-0)t on the nitrile cyclization component (either Me or Ph) for the configurational stability of the naphthylpyridine was found to be negligible. It was again possible to determine the free energy activation barrier ΔG^{\ddagger} for 27 and 28 from the obtained data as exemplified for 39. $^{29\text{b}}$ The estimated values for 27 (ΔG^{\ddagger} = 93 kJ mol⁻¹ at 22 °C) and 28 ($\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 97$ kJ mol⁻¹ at 40 °C) correspond to a slight[ly h](#page-15-0)igher activation barrier for the interconversion of the enantiomers compared to 39. Significant racemization occurs already at rather low temperature and the

Figure 2. Effects of substituents on configurational stability of the biaryl axis in the five-membered backbone ring: reference compound 39 and the heterobiaryls 27 and 28 with tetramethyldisiloxane backbones. T_{HPLC} is the temperature of the chiral column during the dynamic HPLC analysis.

half-life time of 27 was estimated to be around 13 min at 25 °C. However, when comparing these data to those discussed in the next paragraph for the carbonyl group-containing heterobiaryls (Figure 3, 29, 30 and 34), these values appear to be too high in terms of the HPLC elution profiles observed (Figure 2 and 3). The latter compounds even at 80 °C do not show peak coalescence, which would indicate free rotation around the biaryl axis, while this is the case for 27 and 28.

The next set of molecules we investigated were compounds containing a carbonyl group in the ortho-position including 29, 30 and 34. The $C=O$ group in the five-membered ring is assumed to be coplanar to the pyridine ring, thus representing a substituent which should be able to efficiently hinder the rotation around the biaryl axis. These heterobiaryls display a highly interesting behavior (Figure 3). The lactone 29 and ketone 34 display rather similar HPLC elution profiles at different temperatures, characterized by pronounced plateau formation, which indicates the enantiomerization by rotation around the biaryl axis. In contrast, the lactam 30 shows no sign of enantiomerization even at 80 °C and therefore appears to be significantly more stable than the related compounds 29 and 34. This can be explained by the high degree of planarity of the $-(C=0)-(N-Me)-CH₂$ fragment due to the partial "double-bond character" in the resonance structure between C and N, which obviously imparts the higher configurational stability compared with the carbonyl congeners. A high substantial barrier to rotation has even been observed in the acyclic form of secondary amides (typically $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 62 - 84$ kJ mol^{-1}).³⁰

From the HPLC data measured at different temperatures betwee[n 3](#page-15-0)5 and 80 °C, the free activation energy (ΔG^\ddag) values were determined from the rate constants of enantiomerization and the activation parameters ΔH^{\ddagger} and ΔS^{\ddagger} were obtained from an Eyring plot by temperature-dependent dynamic HPLC measurements. The ΔG^{\ddagger} values represent the differences in stability for the various carbonyl compounds; for compound 30 a lower limit of the enantiomerization barrier has been estimated because of negligible interconversion at 80 °C. While the energetic difference between 29 and 34 is small $(\Delta \Delta G^{\ddagger} = 2.5 \text{ kJ})$ mol $^{-1}$), the lactam 30 has an estimated ΔG^{\ddagger} value already over 100 kJ mol[−]¹ . However, all investigated heterobiaryls so far have a larger activation barrier compared to 1-(1′-naphthalenyl) isoquinoline $(\Delta G^{\ddagger} \sim 80 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ at -20 °C).³¹ Comparable investigations with structurally related compounds have been performed with atropisomeric analogues [of](#page-15-0) DMAP (4 dimethylaminopyridine).³²

Figure 3. Effects of different neighboring groups on the configurational stability of five-membered carbonyl-containing backbone rings in heterobiaryls 29, 30 and 34 (ΔG^{\ddagger} at 25 °C). T_{HPLC} is the temperature of the chiral column during the dynamic HPLC analysis.

Figure 4. Computed energy profiles for the ketone 40 and lactone 41.

Figure 5. Exemplary dynamic chiral HPLC diagram for 33 and activation barriers of investigated compounds 31–33, 35, 37 and 38 (ΔG^{\ddagger} at 80 °C) as well as compound 42 for comparison. T_{HPLC} is the temperature of the chiral column during the dynamic HPLC analysis.

We performed calculations for two model compounds, 5Hcyclopenta $[c]$ pyridin-7(6H)-one (40) and furo $[3,4-c]$ pyridin- $3(1H)$ -one (41) , to evaluate the energetics of a possible deviation of the annulated five-membered ring, which could influence the interconversion also in 29 and 34 (Figure 4). The computed energies of the two compounds illustrate that the lactone needs slightly more energy to distort from the planarity of the five-membered ring compared to the ketone. With increasing deviation from planarity, the required amount of energy rises significantly. At a deviation of 60° from planarity, about 18 kJ mol⁻¹ more energy was required for the ester 41. This trend correlates well with the different free activation energies derived from the dynamic HPLC experiments with 29 and 34 (Figure 3) and thus illustrates the importance minor structural changes can have for the configurational stability of the biaryl axi[s in closel](#page-6-0)y related compounds.

A possible detour for the enantiomerization by enolization of ketone 29 was excluded by treatment of the ketone under thermal conditions in deuterated solvents like $CD₃OD$, where no incorporation of deuterium has been observed.

Finally the other heterobiaryls were investigated, possessing either a $-CMe₂$ group in the A position (compare Scheme 1) like compounds 31−33, an exocyclic double bond (compound 35) or an annulated phenyl group in position A and B (compound 37 and 38). The investigation of these [compounds](#page-1-0) by dynamic HPLC evidenced rather large activation barriers; as up to 80 °C column temperature no changes could be observed (Figure 5). Therefore, the activation barriers for all these compounds are higher than $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 115$ kJ mol⁻¹ at 80 °C. We were able to determine the enantiomerization rate for the naphthyl-tetrahydroisoquinoline compound 42, which can be synthesized with high enantioselectivity applying the asymmetric $[2 + 2 + 2]$ cycloaddition, by heating the solution of one

enantiomer at 110 °C and subsequently analyzing the enantiomeric ratio by chiral HPLC. We found the half-life time for racemization to be approximately 148 min corresponding to an activation barrier $\Delta \bar{G}^{\ddagger}$ of approximately 114 kJ mol $^{-1}$. For further comparison, the structurally very similar 1-(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)isoquinoline was found to possess an activation barrier of $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 117 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ at 87 °C.^{10b} These examples corroborate the assumption that adequate substitution of the five-membered ring backbone in the appro[pri](#page-14-0)ate position can lead to heterobiaryls with comparable or even higher configurational stability compared to heterobiaryls possessing sixmembered backbone rings. The in A position dimethylated amines 31−33 are obviously more rigid, independent from the substitution of the five-membered ring nitrogen atom. This is also obvious when comparing these with other compounds containing a dimethylated carbon atom in the A position like the structurally related tetramethyldisiloxane-substituted heterobiaryls 27 and 28. The presence of a free N−H (like in 31) proton seems to have no effect on the configurational stability as observed under HPLC conditions. The heterobiaryl 35 decorated with an exocyclic olefin bond is structurally analogous to the ketone 34. The significantly larger stability of 35 can therefore certainly be derived from the terminal $=CH₂$ group, which is sterically more demanding compared to the carbonyl oxygen and leads to the observed stabilization against free rotation around the biaryl axis. Finally, installation of an annulated phenyl ring as for 37 and 38, which can be considered as embedding of the double bond in position A in an aromatic system also provides significant stabilization, by increasing the rigidity of the newly formed five-membered ring and increasing the steric size of the backbone tremendously. A significant electronic effect of the keto group in the position C of 37, which

would be the only plausible influence thinkable, was not observed.

We isolated very small amounts of the heterobiaryls 33 and 37 from analytical chiral HPLC separations and heated solutions of the samples at different temperatures to check the stereochemical integrity. It was found that 37 could be heated for several hours at 120 °C without significant racemization. Unfortunately decomposition was an interfering problem at higher temperatures using NMP or toluene as solvent, preventing further studies.

For a better understanding of the experimental data from the dynamic HPLC investigations, we calculated the energy profiles of the rotation around the biaryl axis for selected molecules.³³ A number of theoretical investigations on the rotational barriers of different heterobiaryls have been reported.³² In our approac[h, w](#page-15-0)e computed relative energies for a complete 360° rotation around the biaryl axis, providing an energe[tic](#page-15-0) curve for model heterobiaryls. The results corroborated (a) the size of the backbone either of the naphthyl or the pyridine ring has a large impact of stability, (b) substitution of the pyridine nitrogen for a C−H group or methylation of the nitrogen significantly raises the activation barrier for the rotation around the biaryl axis, and (c) the substituent of the pyridine ring ortho to the ring nitrogen atom (Ph or Me) plays no role for the activation barrier.

Finally, we applied the conditions for the enantioselective photocatalyzed $[2 + 2 + 2]$ cycloaddition with the chiral Co(I)indenyl complex 43 to diyne 25 and benzonitrile, to prove the higher configurational stability of the products' biaryl axis under these reaction conditions (Scheme 5). As it was m[en](#page-14-0)tioned in the

Scheme 5. Cocyclotrimerization of Diyne 25 with PhCN, Yielding Enantiomerically Enriched Heterobiaryl Product 37

beginning this reaction failed to deliver compound 39 with any selectivity. We investigated several conditions, also at lower reaction temperatures as low as −20 °C to maximize the enantioselectivity; however, at these low temperatures no reaction was observed. We then successfully performed the reaction at 0° under photochemical conditions.

To our delight the expected heterobiaryl product 37 was formed, albeit with low yield, but much more important with an enantiomeric ratio of 72:28, demonstrating exemplarily that here the barrier of rotation was large enough to allow the enantioselective syntheses of 37, possessing a substituted fivemembered backbone ring. This also substantiates the assumption that the appropriate configuration of the backbone ring can result in a barrier of rotation large enough to be useful for further enantioselective elaborations.

■ CONCLUSION

The presented investigation details the systematic synthetic approach for a series of heterobiaryls containing pyridines with annulated, differently substituted five-membered ring systems by Co-catalyzed cyclotrimerization reactions of unsymmetrical

diynes with aceto- or benzonitrile as the key step. Many of the unsymmetrically substituted diynes with structurally diverse connections of the two alkyne moieties were synthesized for the first time. The photochemical cycloaddition of the diynes and nitriles catalyzed by $[CpCo(COD)]$ was found as an efficient possibility for the construction of the heterobiaryls. Application of $[CpCo(trans-MeO₂CHC=CHCO₂Me){P(OEt)₃}]$ under thermal or microwave conditions proved to be even superior for certain cases. The obtained heterobiaryl racemates were investigated in terms of the configurational stability of their newly formed stereogenic biaryl axis by dynamic HPLC on different chiral phases. Some of the compounds display remarkable differences while increasing the column temperature, showing that small structural differences can play a large role for the stabilization of the biaryl axis. From these HPLC investigations it was possible to determine the free energy activation barriers $(\Delta \tilde{G}^{\ddagger})$ for the enantiomerization process. It was found that (A) the heterobiaryls with a tetramethyldisiloxane backbone (27 and 28) are configurationally more stable compared to the compound with an unsubstituted fivemembered ring (39). (B) Introduction of a carbonyl group as a constituent of the five-membered ring attached to the pyridine ring ortho to the biaryl axis significantly raises the free energy activation barriers ($\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 96.8$ to ~103 kJ mol⁻¹), especially depending on the group adjacent to the $C=O$ group in the fivemembered ring $(CH₂, O$ or NMe). The five-membered lactam ring provided the largest stabilization of the biaryl axis. (C) We found that the introduction of a cyclic amine possessing a "CMe₂" group ortho to the biaryl axis significantly raises the activation barrier over $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 115 \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. (D) The same effect was found for an exocyclic C−C double bond ortho to the biaryl axis and also the connection of an annulated phenyl ring at the five-membered ring backbone. Comparison with biaryl 42, possessing a six-membered ring in the backbone, yielded comparable activation enthalpies. This demonstrates the feasibility of stabilizing the configuration of the biaryl axis by appropriate substitution of the five-membered ring.

In summary, the investigations demonstrated that in addition to the novel synthetic access to this class of increasingly important unsymmetrically substituted heterobiaryls, the suitable substitution of five-membered ring backbones can significantly support the configurational stability of the biaryl axis of such systems (Scheme 6). As further evidence the

Scheme 6. Summary on the Observed Effects of Backbone Substituents on Biaryl Axis Stabilization

enantioselective photocatalyzed $[2 + 2 + 2]$ cycloaddition of diyne 25 applying a chiral $Co(I)$ -catalyst enabled the formation of the corresponding heterobiaryl product 37 with an er of 72:28.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

The NMR spectra were in general recorded at 298 K and the individual measurement conditions given with the data. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to the ${}^{1}H$ and ${}^{13}C$ residue signals of the deuterated solvent (deuterochloroform δ 7.26 ppm for $^1\rm H$ and δ 77.16 ppm for 13 C). Mass spectra were obtained with a mass spectrometer at an ionizing voltage of 70 eV for EI. Only characteristic fragments containing the isotopes of highest abundance are listed. Relative intensities in percentages are given in parentheses. High-resolution mass spectroscopy (HRMS) analyses were performed using electrospray ionization/time-of-flight (ESI-TOF) mass spectrometry or electron ionization (EI) with a sector field mass analyzer. Melting points were not corrected. In all cases the enantiomeric excesses of pyridines were analyzed by HPLC using appropriate chiral columns. For the photochemistry two halogen lamps (460 W each) have been used for irradiation of the thermostated Schlenk-type reaction vessel (pictures of the setup are given in the Supporting Information).⁴⁰ All reactions were carried out in an argon atmosphere, using standard techniques in dry, oxygen-free solvents. The liquid reagents were [dist](#page-15-0)illed under argon prior to use. All solid co[mpounds used for the pho](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02190/suppl_file/jo5b02190_si_001.pdf)tocatalyzed reactions were recrystallized from degassed solvents and the liquid starting materials were dissolved in the appropriate solvent and dried over and distilled from molecular sieves under argon before use. For microwave experimentation we used a CEM Discover SP with glass tubes. Chromatographic purifications were done with 240−400 mesh silica gel or on an automated flash-chromatography system. $CpCo(COD)$, $Pd(PPh_3)_4^{35}$ Pd_2dba_3 ·CHCl₃,³⁵ 1-iodo-2-methoxynaphthalene (1)³⁶ and 3-(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)propiolic acid (7)¹⁴ were synthesiz[ed](#page-15-0) according [to](#page-15-0) known procedur[es.](#page-15-0) 1-Ethynyl-2-methoxynaphthalene (2[\)](#page-15-0) was [pr](#page-15-0)epared after either one of the published procedures.³⁷ The synthesis and catalytic properties of the air-stable precatalyst [CpCo- (*trans*-MeO₂CHC=CHCO₂Me){ $P(OEt)$ ₃}] have been report[ed](#page-15-0) by us recently.¹⁹ All other starting materials and compounds were commercially available and have been purchased or were prepared by procedur[es](#page-15-0) described below.

Preparation of Cyclization Substrate Molecules. N-(3-(2- Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)prop-2-ynyl)-2,4,6-trimethyl-N-(prop-2 ynyl)aniline (9). 2,4,6-Trimethyl-N,N-di(prop-2-ynyl)aniline (3). The synthetic procedure for 2,4,6-trimethyl-N,N-di(prop-2-ynyl)aniline (3) was derived according to published work.³⁸ In a 3-necked flask equipped with reflux condenser anhydrous K_2CO_3 (21.9 g, 159.8 mmol) was suspended in DMF (135 mL). Afterwar[d,](#page-15-0) the 2,4,6-trimethylaniline as well as the propargyl bromide (18 mL, 159.8 mmol, 80% soln. in toluene) were added and the reaction mixture stirred at 100 °C for 18 h. Then additional propargyl bromide and K_2CO_3 (one-fifth of the original amounts each) were added and heating at 100 °C continued for another 2 h. After cooling, the reaction was quenched with water and then extracted with ethyl acetate. After drying over $MgSO₄$ and evaporation of the solvent, the oily residue can be purified either by bulb to bulb distillation (ca. 4 × 10⁻² mbar, 100 °C) in high vacuum or column chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether/ethyl acetate $(10:1, v/v)$ as the eluent. The known product was obtained as a slightly yellow liquid (yield: 10.7 g, 76%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 6.87 (s, 2H), 3.98 $(d, J = 2.3 \text{ Hz}, 4\text{H})$, 2.35 (s, 6H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.25 (t, $J = 2.3 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}$) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 144.3, 137.5, 135.4, 129.5, 81.3, 71.8, 41.4, 20.9, 19.5. ppm. MS (EI, 70 EV): 211 (69) [M]⁺ , 172 (71), 157 (100), 91 (28), 77 (20), 39 (32).

N-(3-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)prop-2-ynyl)-2,4,6-trimethyl-N- (prop-2-ynyl)aniline (4). The best results were obtained utilizing the Sonogashira reaction according to the following procedure: 1-Iodo-2 methoxynaphthalene (1, 1.83 g, 6.44 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (370 mg, 0.32 mmol, 5 mol %), CuI (182 mg, 0.96 mmol, 15 mol %) were secured in a Schlenk flask under argon. Et₃N (80 mL), the 2,4,6-trimethyl-N,Ndi(prop-2-ynyl)aniline $(3, 4.08 \text{ g}, 19.3 \text{ mmol})$ and finally THF (20 mL) were added subsequently and the reaction mixture heated to 50 °C. After 60 min a thick precipitate already formed and the reaction was stopped after 15 h, when TLC showed complete consumption of iodide. The reaction mixture was quenched with sat. $NH₄Cl$ soln. and stirred for 15 min. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted several times with ether and the combined organic phases washed with

sat. NaHCO₃ soln. and brine. After drying over $Na₂SO₄$ and removal of the solvent, the dark oily residue containing large amounts of unreacted amine was charged to silica gel and purified by chromatography, using petrol ether/ethyl acetate $(3:1, v/v)$ as the eluent. It turned out to be beneficial to remove excessive diyne 3 by bulb to bulb distillation before the chromatographic purification. The pure product is a yellow solid (1.07 g, 45%). For further purification the product can be recrystallized from Et₂O/n-pentane (2:1, v/v). mp 106−108 °C. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.98 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.45 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 6.90 (s, 2H), 4.42 (s, 2H), 4.11 (d, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 2H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 2.42 (s, 6H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.28 (t, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 158.9, 144.4, 137.8, 135.3, 134.8, 129.7, 129.6, 128.6, 128.0, 127.2, 125.6, 124.2, 112.9, 106.7, 96.5, 81.7, 78.3, 71.8, 56.7, 42.9, 41.9, 21.0, 19.6 ppm. MS (ESI): 366 (18) [MH]⁺, 195 (100). Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₂₅NO (367.48): C 84.98, H 6.86, N 3.81. Found: C 84.71, H 6.88, N 3.51.

3-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)ethyn-2-ynyl)-tetramethyldisiloxane (6). In a 250 mL Schlenk flask, Pd_2dba_3 ·CHCl₃ (437 mg, 0.42 mmol, 6 mol %), CuI (402 mg, 2.11 mmol, 15 mol %) and dppf (505 mg, 0.92 mmol, 6.5 mol %) were evacuated and backfilled with argon three times. THF (15 mL) was added and the mixture stirred for a short period (ca. 20 min) at rt. In another Schlenk flask 1 (4.0 g, 14.1 mmol) was set up as above and dissolved in THF (9 mL) . To the catalyst solution Et₃N (120) mL) as well as 1,3-diethynyltetramethyldisiloxane (5, 5.14 g, 28.2 mmol) were added. The solution of the naphthyl iodide 1 was then charged to a 10 mL syringe, connected to the reaction flask via a Teflon tubing and a needle and afterward the syringe was clamped into a syringe pump. The reaction mixture was heated to 45 °C and the addition of the diyne started with 1 mL of the solution immediately and then with an addition rate of 2.5 mL/h. After stirring for a total time of 22 h, the reaction was allowed to cool down and subsequently quenched with sat. NH4Cl soln. under argon. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3x)$, the combined organic phases washed with water and brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. After evaporation of the solvent, a dark-brown oily residue remained and the excessive diyne was removed in high vacuum. The crude product was charged to silica gel and purified by flash-chromatography with n -heptane and ethyl acetate, yielding two main fractions: diarylated byproduct (903 mg, 13%) and the monoarylated product 6 (oil, 2.28 g, 48%). We later developed a modified procedure, preventing the formation of the diarylated product, however, the overall yields are lower.¹² Characterization data for compound 6: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.32 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 9.1 [Hz,](#page-15-0) 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (ddd, J = 8.3, 6.9, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (s, 3H), 2.46 (s, 1H), 0.48 (s, 6H), 0.41 (s, 6H) ppm. 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.8, 134.8, 130.6, 128.4, 128.0, 127.4, 125.3, 124.2, 112.7, 105.8, 103.1, 98.7, 92.2, 89.4, 56.5, 2.4, 2.0 ppm. 29Si NMR (79 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = -16.5, -16.7 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 338 (61) [M⁺], 323 (95), 308 (57), 293 (100), 283 (70), 189 (28), 165 (26). HRMS (pos. ESI) for $C_{19}H_{23}O_2Si_2$ [MH⁺] calcd 339.1231, found 339.1234.

Prop-2-ynyl 3-(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)propiolate (8). In a 50 mL Schlenk tube 1-ethynyl-2-methoxynaphthalene (2, 770 mg, 4.23 mmol) was evacuated and backfilled with argon three times. Then THF (20 mL) was added and the resulting solution was cooled to −78 °C, following by the addition of n-BuLi (1.5 M, 3.0 mL, 4.44 mmol) at this temperature. After 10 min the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C and stirred for additional 20 min. Afterward the mixture was cooled again down to −78 °C and propargyl chloroformate (526 mg, 0.44 mL, 4.44 mmol) was added via syringe slowly. The reaction solution was stirred for further 15 min, then stirred in an ice-bath for 3 h and finally allowed to warm to rt. The brown reaction mixture was quenched with sat. NH₄Cl soln. and extracted with Et₂O (2×). The combined organic layers were washed with washed with water (3×) and brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. The crude product charged to silica gel and purified by chromatography with petrol ether and ethyl acetate $(4:1 \text{ v/v})$, yielding 8 as slightly yellowish solid (845 mg, 76%).

Alternative Approach for 8. 3-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl) propiolic acid (7, 500 mg, 2.21 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP)

(26.9 mg, 0.22 mmol) were evacuated in a 25 mL Schlenk tube and backfilled with argon three times. Afterward CH_2Cl_2 and propargyl alcohol were added and the reaction mixture cooled to 0 $^{\circ}$ C. N,N'-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) (912 mg, 4.42 mmol) was added in portions under inert conditions and the reaction mixture stirred for 16 h. TLC control showed complete conversion and a new spot. Finally, the reaction mixture was quenched with HCl (0.25 M), the aqueous phase extracted with CH_2Cl_2 several times and then washed again with HCl (0.25 M). Afterward, the organic phase was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ soln., water and brine and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. The crude product was charged to silica gel and purified by chromatography with n-hexane and ethyl acetate (4:1, v/v), yielding 8 as a white-yellow solid (386 mg, 67%). mp 98–99 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.22 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (ddd, J = 8.5, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.88 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (s, 3H), 2.56 (t, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 162.1, 153.6, 135.1, 133.5, 128.5 (2×), 128.3, 124.9, 124.8, 112.3, 102.1, 89.3, 83.7, 75.7, 56.7, 53.2 ppm (one C could not be detected, presumably due signal overlap). MS (EI, 70 eV),m/z (%) 264 (48) [M⁺], 220 (65), 205 (25), 191 (25), 182 (78), 163 (32), 152 (100), 139 (75). HRMS (EI) for $C_{17}H_{12}O_3$ calcd 264.0781, found 264.0781.

3-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-N-methyl-N-(prop-2-ynyl) propiolamide (9). Methyl(prop-2-ynyl)carbamic chloride. The general preparation for this class of compounds was reported.¹⁵

In a 250 mL Schlenk flask triphosgene (2.95 g, 9.95 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) and cooled to −78 °C. Dry pyri[dine](#page-15-0) (0.25 mL, 3.0 mmol) and a solution of N-methylprop-2-yn-1-amine (2.5 mL, 28.0 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 mL) were added slowly, while adjusting the pressure to the atmospheric pressure. Afterward the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt, continuing stirring for another 68 h. After evaporation of the solvent the crude product was distilled in vacuum at 80 °C oil-bath temperature. Methyl(prop-2-ynyl)carbamic chloride is a yellowish oily liquid, which becomes dark brown after storage for several weeks in fridge (yield: 2.22 g, 60%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 4.23 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 0.8H), 4.14 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1.2H), 3.15 (s, 1.8 H), 3.05 (s, 1.2H), 2.36 (t, $J = 2.4$ Hz, 0.38H), 2.33 (t, $J = 2.5$ Hz, 0.62H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 149.8/148.7$, 76.7/76.6, 73.7, 42.4/ 39.7, 37.5/36.1 ppm (there are too many 13 C signal, due to the formation of rotamers; accordingly, these signals are separated with "/").

3-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-N-methyl-N-(prop-2-ynyl) propiolamide (9). In a 250 mL Schlenk tube 1-ethynyl-2-methoxynaphthalene (2, 640 mg, 3.51 mmol) was evacuated and backfilled with argon three times. Then THF (20 mL) was added and the resulting solution was cooled to −78 °C, following by the addition of *n*-BuLi (1.6 M, 2.3 mL, 3.7 mmol) at this temperature. After 10 min the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room-temperature and stirred for additional 30 min. Afterward the mixture was cooled again to −78 °C and methyl(prop-2-ynyl) carbamic chloride (466 mg, 3.51 mmol) was added via syringe slowly. The reaction solution was then allowed to warm to rt overnight. The mixture was quenched with water and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3× 20 mL each). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. The crude product charged to silica gel and purified by chromatography with n-hexane and ethyl acetate (1:1 v/v + 2% Et₃N), yielding 9 as an yellow-orange solid (560 mg, 58%). mp 127–128 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.25 $(dd, J = 8.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.0 Hz,$ 1H), 7.56 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (ddd, J = 8.0, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (s, 1,5H), 4.01 (s, 1,5H), 3.47 (s, 1.5H), 3.13 (s, 1.5H), 2.36 (t, J = 2.5 Hz, 0.5H), 2.27 (t, J = 2.5 Hz, 0.5H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 161.3/161.1, 154.8/154.7, 134.8/134.7, 132.62/$ 132.57, 128.32/128.30, 128.2, 125.2/125.1, 124.7, 112.2, 103.34/ 103.32, 90.7/90.5, 86.3/86.1, 78.2/78.0, 73.0/72.4, 56.62/56.58, 40.8, 35.6/35.4, 31.7 ppm. (there are to many 13C signal, due to the formation of rotamers; accordingly, these signals are separated with "/"). MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 277 (4) [M⁺], 247 (100), 218 (46), 189 (21). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{18}H_{16}NO_2$ calcd 278.1176, found 278.1178.

4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-amine (11)^{5a} and 4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-N,2-dimethyl-N-(prop-2-ynyl)- but-3-yn-2-amine (12). 4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-amine (10). 1-Iodo-2-methoxynaphthalene (1, 5.71 g, 20.1 mmol), $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (464 mg, 0.40 mmol, 2 mol %) and CuI (230 mg, 1.21 mmol, 6 mol %) were secured in a Schlenk flask (3×). Subsequently Et_3N (100 mL) and 2-methyl-2-amino-3-butyne (2.0 g, 24.1 mmol) were added and the reaction mixture heated to 55 °C. The reaction was stopped after 14 h, when TLC showed complete consumption of 1 and the reaction mixture had become slurry. The reaction mixture was filtrated over Celite, the filter content washed with ether and the combined filtrates were subjected to the removal of the solvent in vacuum, giving roughly 6 g of crude product. The residue was plugged to silica gel and purified by column chromatography with ethyl acetate $(+2\% \text{ Et}_3 N)$ as the eluent. Pure 10 was isolated as a white solid (4.67 g, 97%). mp 67–69 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.19 (d, J $= 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.50 $(ddd, J = 8.5, 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (ddd, J = 8.3, 6.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18$ $(d, J = 9.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.00 (bs, 2H), 1.65 (s, 6H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 158.8, 134.7, 129.9, 128.6, 128.1, 127.4, 125.3 (2×), 124.2, 113.0, 106.2, 75.1, 56.8, 46.8, 31.8 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 239 (19) [M+], 224 (100), 208 (19), 139 (23). Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{17}NO$ (239.31): C 80.30, H 7.16 N 5.85. Found: C 80.28, H 7.22 N 5.67.

4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-methyl-N-(prop-2-ynyl)but-3 yn-2-amine (11) . Potassium carbonate $(1.60 \text{ g}, 11.6 \text{ mmol})$ and 10 (2.21 g, 9.31 mmol) were secured in a Schlenk flask and anhydrous DMF (80 mL) was added. Propargyl bromide (1.29 mL, 11.6 mmol, 80 wt % in toluene) was added via syringe and the reaction mixture stirred for 18 h at 100 °C. After cooling, ca. 50 mL water were added and the mixture extracted with ethyl acetate $(4x)$. The combined organic phases were washed with sat. NaHCO₃ soln. and brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvents were evaporated and the residue dried in vacuum (crude product: 4.8 g of brown oil). The crude product was charged onto silica gel and purified by column chromatography, using petrol ether/ethyl acetate (3:1 v/v + 1% Et₃N). Two main fractions have been isolated: the dipropargylated side product (749 mg, 26%) and low-melting solid 11 $(1.409 \text{ g}, 55\%)$. mp 48–50 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.16 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.52 $(ddd, J = 8.6, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (ddd, J = 8.3, 6.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.21$ $(d, J = 9.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 3.99 (s, 3H), 3.74 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 2H), 2.23 (t, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.77 (bs, 1H), 1.58 (s, 6H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 158.9, 134.6, 130.0, 128.6, 128.2, 127.4, 125.2, 124.2, 112.9, 106.3, 103.0, 82.8, 77.3, 71.3, 56.7, 51.3, 34.0, 29.8 ppm. MS (70 eV), m/z (%) 276 (100) [M+], 244 (25), 220 (44), 178 (26), 162 (36), 152 (20), 56 (27). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{19}H_{19}ON$ calcd 277.1461, found 277.1460. Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₁₉NO (277.36): C 82.28, H 6.90 N 5.05. Found: C 82.12, H 7.04 N 4.91.

4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-N-(2-dimethyl-N-(prop-2-ynyl)) but-3-yn-2-amine (12). Compound 11 (982 mg, 3.54 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (636 mg, 4.60 mmol) were weighted into a Schlenk flask and anhydrous DMF (30−40 mL) as well as MeI (0.29 mL, 656 mg, 4.60 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was heated to 80 °C for 24 h. After cooling, water was added and the mixture extracted with diethyl ether (4×). The combined organic phases were washed with brine and dried over $Na₂SO₄$. The solvents were evaporated and the residue dried in vacuum. The crude product was charged to silica and purified by column chromatography, using petrol ether/ethyl acetate $(3:1 \text{ v}/\text{v} + 1\%)$ Et₃N). The product 12 (581 mg, 56%), starting material $(11, 194$ mg, 20% recovered) and 2-methoxy-1-(3-methylbut-3-en-1-yn-1-yl) naphthalene (235 mg) were isolated. The 2-methoxy-1-(3-methylbut-3-en-1-yn-1-yl)naphthalene was identified by NMR and is the formal result of an elimination reaction. Data for 12: mp 50−51 °C. ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ $\delta = 8.20 \text{ (d, } J = 8.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.79 \text{ (d, } J = 9.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}),$ 7.77 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (ddd, J = 8.3, 6.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (ddd, J $= 8.2, 6.9, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 3.59 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 2.64 (s, 3H), 2.28 (t, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 1.67 (s, 6H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.2, 134.6, 129.9, 128.6, 128.2, 127.3, 125.3, 124.2, 113.0, 106.4, 100.0, 81.6, 78.9, 72.5, 56.8, 56.1, 41.9, 36.7, 28.9 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 291 (3) [M+], 276 (100), 244 (26), 220 (44), 178 (26), 165 (36), 152 (20), 56 (27). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{20}H_{21}NO$ calcd 291.1618, found 291.1625. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}NO$ (291.39): C 82.44, H 7.26 N 4.81. Found: C 82.65, H 7.28 N 4.72.

N-(4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)-4 methyl-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (14). N-(4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (13). 1-Iodo-2-methoxynaphthalene (1, 8.64 g, 30.4 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (1.74 g, 1.51 mmol, 5 mol %), 4-methyl-N-(2methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)benzenesulfonamide $(7.83 \text{ g}, 33.0 \text{ mmol})^{16}$ and $ZnCl₂$ (817.5 mg, 6.0 mmol, 6 mol %) were secured in a Schlenk flask (3×). Subsequently piperidine (45 mL) and iodine (20 mg) wer[e ad](#page-15-0)ded and the reaction mixture stirred at 65 °C for 20 h. After this time TLC control showed complete consumption of 1 and the reaction was allowed to cool to rt. The mixture was diluted with *n*-hexane/Et₂O (5:3) v/v) and stirred for further 60 min. The precipitated solids were filtered off and washed with same solvent mixture. The solvent was removed and the residue was plugged to silica gel and purified by column chromatography with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether $(1:1 \text{ v/v})$ as the eluent. Pure 10 was isolated as a white solid (9.92 g, 83%). mp 136−138 $^{\circ}$ C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.82 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J $= 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.77 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.47– 7.41 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.33 (m, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.20 (s, 1H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 1.90 (s, 3H), 1.76 (s, 6H) ppm. 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.0, 143.0, 138.5, 134.5, 130.2, 129.2 (2×), 128.5, 128.0, 127.6 (2×), 127.3, 125.3, 124.2, 121.8, 112.9, 105.9, 100.3, 56.8, 51.4, 31.2 (2×), 21.4 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 393 (31) [M⁺], 378 (15), 298 (25), 272 (35), 222 (100), 208 (19), 182 (30), 178 (51), 171 (19), 165 (24), 155 (26), 152 (25), 139 (30), 91 (65). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{23}H_{23}NO_3S$ ([M + H]⁺) calcd 394.1471, found 394.1474.

N-(4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)-4 methyl-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)benzenesulfonamide (14). The tosylated naphthylacetylene 13 (5.0 g, 12.7 mmol) is secured in a flame-dried Schlenk flask (250 mL) three times and DMF (75 mL) was added. The solution was cooled to 0 °C and then sodium hydride (696 mg, 17.4 mmol, 60% dispersion with paraffin oil) was added under argon. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt andstirred for another hour while the evolution of hydrogen gas was observed. After cooling down to 0 °C again, propargyl bromide (2.21 g, 1.41 mL, 18.6 mmol) was added via syringe and the reaction mixture allowed to warm to rt and then stirred for another 16 h. After that time the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with ethyl acetate $(3x)$. After washing with water and brine the combined organic phases were dried over $MgSO₄$ and the solvent removed in vacuum. The crude product purified by column chromatography, using petrol ether/diethyl ether (4:5 v/v). Two fractions were isolated which both turned out to be pure product 14 $(5.08 \text{ g}, 93%)$ as a syrup, which solidifies after standing. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8. Thirty (dd, J = 8.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.80 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.60 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (s, 3H), 2.34 $(t, J = 2.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 2.25 (s, 3H), 1.95 (s, 6H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.4, 143.1, 139.6, 134.5, 130.4, 129.4, 128.5, 128.1, 127.4 (2×), 125.3, 124.3, 112.9, 105.8, 100.7, 81.7, 79.2, 72.3, 58.4, 56.8, 37.6, 30.7, 21.5 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 416 (100), 276 (48), 261 (88), 246 (29), 223 (62), 220 (90), 208 (24), 181 (22), 178 (21), 169 (24), 165 (38), 91 (49), 80 (32), 70 (24). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{26}H_{26}NO_3S$ ([M + H]+) calcd 432.1628, found 432.1633.

1-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)hepta-1,6-diyn-3-one (18). rac-1- (2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)hepta-1,6-diyn-3-ol (17). The synthesis of aldehyde 16 from pen-4-yn-1-ol (15) using a Swern-Oxidation has been described before and was accomplished in 71% yield (containing small amounts of NEt_3) after Kugelrohr distillation.³⁹ The NMR data are in agreement with the reported data.

A 100 mL Schlenk tube was charged with 2 (1.0 [g,](#page-15-0) 5.49 mmol) and secured three times with vacuum and argon and dissolved in 50 mL of THF. The solution was cooled to −78 °C and n-BuLi solution (3.6 mL, 5.61 mmol, 1.56 M) was introduced via syringe dropwise. After stirring for additional 30 min the solution was allowed to warm to rt and after further stirring for 30 min the solution is cooled back to −78 °C again. In a second flask a solution of 16 (451 mg, 5.49 mmol) in THF (30 mL)

was prepared under argon and cooled to −78 °C. The cooled solution of the organolithium reagent was transferred into that mixture slowly via cannula. An orange solution resulted which was warmed to rt and stirred for additional 12 h. The reaction was quenched with $NH₄Cl$ soln. and the aqueous phase extracted with ethyl acetate several times. The combined organic phases were washed with water and brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. Column chromatography on silica gel first using *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate $(6:1 \text{ v/v})$ and then pure ethyl acetate as eluent provided a main fraction, which was found to be product 17 (1.14 g, 79%) as a syrupy solid. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.19 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.52 $(\text{ddd}, J = 8.5, 6.8, 1.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.37 \text{ (ddd}, J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.21$ $(d, J = 9.1 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$, 5.02–4.94 (m, 1H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 2.65 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.61−2.52 (m, 2H), 2.21−2.12 (m, 2H), 2.03 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.2, 134.6, 130.5, 128.5, 128.2, 127.6, 125.1, 124.3, 112.6, 105.4, 99.0, 83.7, 79.9, 69.2, 62.2, 56.7, 36.6, 14.8 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 264 (100) [M+], 233 (16), 221 (28), 208 (28), 182 (28), 178 (35), 165 (59), 152 (46), 139 (59). HRMS (EI) for $C_{18}H_{16}O_2$ calcd 264.1145, found 264.1142.

1-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1yl)hepta-1,6-diyn-3-one (18). Dess− Martin periodinane (1.765 g, 4.16 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (40 mL) in a Schlenk flask under argon and cooled to 0 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}.$ A solution of 17 (1.0 g, 3.78 mmol) in $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$ (20 mL) was added via syringe and the orange reaction mixture stirred for 16 h at rt. The reaction mixture was quenched with sat. $NAHCO₃$ soln. and the aqueous phase extracted with $CH₂Cl₂$ (3×). The combined organic phases were washed with sat. $Na₂S₂O₃$ soln., water and brine and dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate 6:1, v/v) to yield pure 18 as the single product (730 mg, 74%). mp 110−111 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.21 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (ddd, J = 8.5, 6.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.9, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (s, 3H), 3.05 (dd, J = 8.1, 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.71 (ddd, J = 8.1, 6.7, 2.7 Hz, 2H), 2.02 (t, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H) ppm.
¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 185.4, 162.0, 135.0, 133.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 124.9, 124.8, 112.3, 102.6, 97.2, 88.0, 82.7, 69.3, 56.7, 44.3, 13.7 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 262 (32) [M+], 233 (28), 219 (52), 209 (25), 203 (26), 189 (29), 182 (26), 152 (100), 138 (42). HRMS (EI) for $C_{18}H_{14}O_2$ calcd 262.0989, found 262.0992.

2-Methoxy-1-(3-methylenehepta-1,6-diyn-1-yl)naphthalene (22). 2,5-Diiodohexa-1,5-diene (20). In a 250 mL Schlenk flask NaI (7.67 g, 51.2 mmol) is secured three times with vacuum/argon and then dissolved in acetonitrile (100 mL) and afterward TMSCl (6.5 mL, 51.2 mmol) and distilled water (0.51 mL, 25.6 mmol) were added via syringe. The yellow-orange suspension was stirred for 15 min and a solution of 1,5-hexadiyne (19, 5 mL, 2.0 g, 25.6 mmol, 50% w/w in n-pentane) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was introduced via syringe. The reaction mixture was becoming darker upon the addition and the mixture was stirred overnight. For workup water (ca. 50 mL) was added and the mixture extracted with $Et₂O (3x)$, washed with NaOH (5%) and brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. The reaction product was chromatographed over a short silica column with *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate $(4:1, v/v)$, yielding 20 as an oil $(3.65 \text{ g}, 43\%)$. ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta = 6.11 \text{ (d, } J = 1.5, 2H)$, 5.75 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 2H), 2.60 (s, 4H) ppm. 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 127.3, 108.9, 44.5 ppm. MS (GC-MS), m/z (%) 334 (17) [M⁺], 207 (44), 80 (100). HRMS (EI) for $C_6H_8I_2$ calcd 333.8710, found 333.8708.

1-(6-Iodo-3-methylenehept-6-en-1-yn-1-yl)-2-methoxynaphthalene (21). For the coupling reaction $Pd(PPh₃)₄$ (165 mg, 0.143 mmol) and CuI (28 mg, 0.143 mmol) were secured in a Schlenk flask and diisopropylamine (100 mL) as well as the diiodide 20 (1.0 g, 3.0 mmol, weighted and added via argon-flushed syringe) introduced. Afterward the solution of the alkyne 2 (519 mg, 2.85 mmol, 0.95 equiv) in diisopropylamine (20 mL) was added very slowly from a dropping funnel. After completed addition the reaction mixture was stirred for another 2 h. During that time a precipitate is formed, yielding a yellow suspension and TLC control showed, that the alkyne substrate has been completely consumed. Workup was performed by addition of sat. $NH₄Cl$ soln. and extraction by ethyl acetate $(3x)$. The combined organic extracts were washed with water and brine and dried over Na2SO4. After evaporation of the solvents the crude product was

purified chromatographically using *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate $(4:1, v/v)$, yielding the product 21, beside excessive 20, as a yellowish sirup (381 mg, 44%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.25 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (ddd, J = 8.5, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.17 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.77 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.59 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.44 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (s, 3H), 2.87 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.59 (d, J = 7.5, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.1, 134.5, 130.3, 129.9, 128.6, 128.2, 127.5, 126.5, 125.3, 124.3, 122.1, 112.8, 110.8, 106.3, 98.8, 84.6, 56.7, 44.0, 37.2 ppm. HRMS (EI) for $C_{19}H_{17}IO$ calcd 388.0319, found 388.0315.

2-Methoxy-1-(3-methylenehepta-1,6-diyn-1-yl)naphthalene (22). Compound 21 (400 mg, 1.03 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (10 mL) and the solution cooled to 0 °C. The NaHMDS (2 mL, 1.2 mL, 0.6 M soln. in THF) was added via syringe and the TLC control showed, that the reaction was complete after additional 10 min stirring. For workup water was added at 0 °C and the solution extracted with ethyl acetate $(3x)$ and the combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄. Evaporation of the solvent in vacuum gave the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography applying n-hexane/ethyl acetate $(4:1, v/v)$ as the eluent, yielding diyne 22 as a white solid $(215 \text{ mg}, 81\%)$. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.22 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J $= 9.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (ddd, J = 8.5, 6.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (ddd, J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 5.61 $(d, J = 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}), 5.44 (d, J = 1.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{ H}), 4.03 (s, 3\text{ H}), 2.68-2.56 \text{ (m, }$ 4H), 2.02 (t, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.1, 134.5, 130.3, 130.2, 128.6, 128.2, 127.5, 125.3, 124.3, 121.9, 112.8, 106.3, 98.5, 84.6, 83.8, 68.9, 56.7, 36.7, 17.9 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 260 (100) [M+], 245 (21), 215 (21), 202 (46). HRMS (EI) for $C_{19}H_{16}O_1$ calcd 260.1196, found 260.1190.

rac-1-(2-((2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)ethynyl)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (25). 2-((2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)ethynyl)benzaldehyde (24). A 250 mL Schlenk flask containing 1-ethynyl-2-methoxynaphthalene (2, 1.635 g, 8.97 mmol, 1.05 equiv), $PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2$ (227.4 mg, 0.33 mmol, 3.8 mol %) and CuI (123.8 mg, 0.65 mmol, 7.6 mol %) was evacuated and backfilled with argon three times. Afterward triethylamine (100 mL) was added and the resulting solution was stirred for 15 min, while changing color from yellow to dark brown. Subsequently 2 bromobenzaldehyde (23, 1.58 g, 1.0 mL, 8.55 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added via syringe and the reaction mixture heated to 50−55 °C. Shortly after heating started an increasingly dense precipitate formed. TLC control showed complete consumption of the starting materials after 36 h and the reaction was quenched with sat. $NH₄Cl$ soln. after cooling to rt. Extraction with ethyl acetate $(3x)$ and washing of the combined organic phases with water and sat. NaCl soln. was followed by drying over $Na₂SO₄$. The crude product $(2.98 g)$ was charged to silica gel and purified by chromatography with cyclohexane and ethyl acetate (4:1 v/ v), yielding 24 as a yellow solid (1.876 g, 77%). mp 99−100 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 10.87 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 8.31 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (dd, $J = 7.8$, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.89 (d, $J = 9.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.84−7.77 (m, 2H), 7.66−7.56 (m, 2H), 7.50−7.43 (m, 1H), 7.42 (ddd, $J = 8.2, 6.8, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 192.7, 159.9, 135.8, 134.4, 133.9, 133.3, 131.2, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 127.9, 127.8, 127.3, 125.2, 124.5, 112.5, 105.6, 94.7, 91.5, 56.7 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 286 (86) [M⁺], 271 (31), 257 (25), 255 (35), 243 (49), 226 (31), 215 (100), 213 (78), 187 (23), 139 (19). HRMS (EI) for $C_{20}H_{14}O_2$ calcd 286.0988, found 286.0985.

rac-1-(2-((2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)ethynyl)phenyl)prop-2-yn-1-ol (25). A 50 mL Schlenk flask was charged with THF (25 mL) and n-BuLi solution (4.5 mL, 7.0 mmol, 1.55 M, 1.1 equiv) and the solution was cooled to −78 °C. Trimethylsilylacetylene (0.99 mL, 7.0 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was introduced in portions via syringe and after completed addition the reaction mixture was stirred for further 30 min. After stirring for additional 75 min at room temperature the solution was cooled back to −78 °C again. Meanwhile in a second 250 mL Schlenk flask a solution of 24 (1.822 g, 6.36 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (75 mL) was prepared under argon and cooled to −78 °C as well. The cooled solution of the organolithium reagent was transferred into that mixture in portions via syringe over 10 min. After additional stirring for 30 min at −78 °C the yellow-orange mixture was allowed to warm to room

temperature and after 3h became increasingly dark. The TLC control (eluent: $Et₂O$) indicated complete consumption of aldehyde 24 and the reaction was quenched with $NH₄Cl$ soln., the aqueous phase were extracted with $Et_2O(2x)$ and the combined organic phases washed with water and brine, dried over $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated and dried in vacuum (ca. 2.7 g crude product).

The crude product was directly subjected to desilylation. It was therefore dissolved in a mixture of THF and MeOH (70 mL each, 1:1 v/ v) and potassium fluoride (2.20 g, 38.2 mmol, 6 equiv) added. After stirring for 18 h the desilylation was completed. The solvent was removed in vacuum and the residue charged to silica gel and purified by column chromatography over silica gel using cyclohexane/diethyl ether. The pure product 25 was obtained as solid (1.852 g, 93%). mp 83−84 $^{\circ}$ C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.37 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.81−7.74 (m, 2H), 7.73−7.68 (m, 1H), 7.60 (ddd, J = 8.4, 6.8, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.44–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.22 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.03 $(dd, J = 6.3, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.53 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (s, 3H), 2.72 (d, J)$ $= 2.4$ Hz, 1H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) $\delta = 159.2$, 142.0, 133.8, 132.2, 130.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 127.6, 127.1, 125.2, 124.4, 122.0, 112.1, 105.8, 96.6, 90.2, 82.7, 75.0, 63.6, 56.5 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 312 (43) [M⁺], 297 (58), 281 (59), 268 (33), 252 (83), 250 (46), 239 (100), 226 (27), 213 (29), 158 (54), 126 (22), 115 (29), 53 (29). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{22}H_{17}O_2$ calcd 313.1223, found 313.1220.

General Procedures for $[2 + 2 + 2]$ Cycloaddition Reactions. General Procedure A: Photochemical $[2 + 2 + 2]$ Cycloaddition Reactions.⁴⁰ An inerted and thermostated (between 0 and 25 °C) reaction vessel was loaded with either diyne 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 18 or 22 (1 equiv), ca[taly](#page-15-0)st [CpCo(COD)] (1−10 mol %), THF (10−20 mL) and nitrile (4−6 equiv) under argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred thoroughly and irradiated by two 460 W lamps for 20−36 h. The reaction was quenched by switching off the lamps and simultaneously letting in air. The solvent was evaporated, and the oily residue was purified on silica gel, in general using either n-hexane, petrol ether or cyclohexane/ethyl acetate in different proportions as eluent.

General Procedure B: Microwave-Assisted $[2 + 2 + 2]$ Cycloaddition Reactions. The diyne 4 , 14 , or 25 (1 equiv) and catalyst $[CpCo(trains-MeO₂CHCO₂Me){P(OEt)₃}]$ (10 mol %) were weighted into a microwave reaction vial (10 mL) and flushed with argon. Afterward toluene (2−3 mL) and nitrile (5 equiv) were added via syringe and the tube sealed with a septum. The reaction vessel was introduced to the microwave and heated at 140 °C for 10 min. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue charged to silica gel. The crude product was purified on silica gel.

2-Mesityl-4-(2-methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-6-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridine (26). The compound was prepared from 4 (230 mg, 0.63 mmol) after the General Procedure A, yielding the pyridine 24 with 43% yield (127 mg) after chromatography (silica gel, eluent: petrol ether/ethyl acetate 2:1 v/v). $\rm ^1H$ NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.08–8.03 (m, 2H), 7.90 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.84–7.79 (m, 1H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.57−7.53 (m, 1H), 7.45−7.31 (m, 6H), 6.86 (s, 2H), 4.73 $(dd, J = 3.9, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 4.43 (ddd, J = -13.8, 3.7, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 4.14$ $(\text{ddd}, J = -13.8, 3.7, 3.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 3.88 \text{ (s, 3H)}, 2.20 \text{ (s, 6H)}, 2.16 \text{ (s, 3H)}$ ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 Hz, CDCl₃) δ = 156.3, 154.1, 151.0, 140.1, 138.5, 136.4, 135.6, 134.8, 130.4, 129.4, 129.3, 128.9, 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.0, 127.8, 127.3, 126.7, 125.4, 124.9, 123.6, 113.9, 113.3, 57.9, 56.8, 56.5, 21.1, 18.5 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 469 (100) [M⁺], 453 (21), 207 (19). HRMS (ESI-TOF) for $C_{33}H_{31}N_2O$ calcd 471.2431, found 471.2423.

4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-1,1,3,3-tetramethyl-6-phenyl-1,3 dihydro-[1,2,5]oxadisilolo-[3,4]pyridine (27). Following the General Procedure A, compound 27 was prepared from diyne 6 (340 mg, 1 mmol) and benzonitrile, providing the product as a yellow oil (yield: 44%, 195 mg) after chromatography (silica gel, eluent: petrol ether/ ethyl acetate 6:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.03 (ddd, J = 7.3, 5.5, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (s, 1H), 7.89 (s, 1H), 7.80−7.77 (m, 1H), 7.44−7.35 (m, 3H), 7.33 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.30−7.26 (m, 2H), 7.11− 7.07 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 0.45 (s, 3H), 0.43 (s, 3H), 0.0 (s, 3H), −0.61 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.6, 157.4, 154.0, 140.2, 134.0, 130.1, 128.9, 128.8, 127.9, 127.7, 127.5, 126.6, 125.3, 124.3, 123.6, 121.6, 113.0, 56.1, 0.92, 0.89, 0.42, 0.31 ppm. ²⁹Si NMR (79.5

MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 16.3, 14.5 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 441 (100) [M⁺], 426 (22), 410 (32). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{26}H_{28}NO_2Si_2$ calcd 442.1653, found 442.1650. HPLC conditions: Reprosil 100, n-heptane/ ethanol 99:1, 1.0 mL/min, $T_1 = 14.5$ min, $T_2 = 18.5$ min.

4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-1,1,3,3,6-pentamethyl-1,3-dihydro-[1,2,5]oxadisilolo-[3,4]pyridine (28). The substance was prepared from 6 (220 mg, 0.65 mmol) and acetonitrile after General Procedure A, yielding 28 in 93% yield (229 mg, yellow oil) after chromatography (silica gel, eluent: petrol ether/ethyl acetate 6:1 v/v). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.88 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.78–7.75 (m, 1H), 7.37 (d, J $= 0.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.00–6.97 $(m, 1H)$, 3.79 $(s, 3H)$, 2.65 $(s, 3H)$, 0.40 $(s, 3H)$, 0.39 $(s, 3H)$, -0.04 $(s, 3H)$ 3H), -0.62 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 159.0, 158.2, 153.9, 140.0, 130.0, 128.7, 127.8, 126.5, 125.1, 124.8, 124.2, 123.5, 112.7, 55.9, 24.9, 0.9, 0.8 ppm. ²⁹Si NMR (79.5 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 16.2, 14.3 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 379 (100) [M⁺], 364 (36), 348 (49), 245 (25). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{21}H_{26}NO_2Si_2$ calcd 380.1497, found 380.1495. HPLC conditions: Chiralcel OD-H, n-heptane/ethanol 99:1, 1.0 mL/ min, $T_1 = 9.5$ min, $T_2 = 12.7$ min.

4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-phenylfuro[3,4-c]pyridin-3(1H) one (29). Following the General Procedure A, heterobiaryl 29 was synthesized from 8 (264 mg, 1 mmol). The crude product was purified via chromatography on silica gel (eluent: petrol ether/ethyl acetate 4:1 v/v), yielding the product in 39% yield (140 mg). mp 211−214 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.16–8.09 (m, 2H), 8.00 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.85 (s, 1H), 7.89−7.82 (m, 1H), 7.55−7.44 (m, 4H), 7.41 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.31 (m, 2H), 5.36 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 5.30 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 168.1, 160.8, 157.1, 156.2, 155.2, 138.2, 132.9, 131.5, 130.3, 129.3, 129.0, 128.4, 127.9, 127.0, 124.2, 123.7, 120.4, 119.1, 113.0, 112.2, 68.2, 56.6 ppm. MS $(EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 367 (100) [M⁺], 338 (29), 323 (68), 308 (29), 294$ (45), 280 (17), 176 (19), 133 (21). HRMS (EI) for $C_{24}H_{17}NO_3$ calc. 367.1203, found 367.1201. HPLC conditions: Chiralpak AD-H, nheptane/ethanol 98:2, 1.0 mL/min, $T_1 = 15.4$ min, $T_2 = 18.3$ min.

4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-2-methyl-6-phenyl-1,2-dihydro-3H-pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridin-3-one (30). Preparation of 30 from 9 (400 mg, 1.44 mmol) after General Procedure A gave the product in 42% yield (230 mg). Purification was performed with chromatography on silica gel (eluent: petrol ether/ethyl acetate 1:1 $v/v + 2\%$ NEt₃). mp 160−163 °C. ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ = 8.12−8.07 (m, 2H), 7.96 $(d, J = 9.1 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.85−7.80 (m, 1H), 7.49−7.35 (m, 5H), 7.32–7.27 (m, 2H), 4.44 (d, J = 17.7 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 17.7 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.09 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 170.1, 158.8, 155.1, 153.8, 151.6, 139.1, 133.3, 130.7, 129.6, 129.4, 128.9, 128.2, 127.8, 127.0, 126.6, 124.5, 123.4, 120.6, 113.6, 113.5, 56.8, 51.2, 29.5 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 380 (100) [M+], 351 (53), 323 (44). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{25}H_{21}N_2O_2$ calcd 381.1598, found 381.1604. HPLC conditions: Chiralcel OD-H, n-heptane/ethanol 98:2, 1.0 mL/ min, $T_1 = 15.4$ min, $T_2 = 56.0$ min.

4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1yl)-3,3-dimethyl-6-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridine (31). Diyne 11 (200 mg, 0.72 mmol) was reacted in accordance to the General Procedure A and the expected product 31 was isolated after chromatography on silica gel with CH_2Cl_2 / ethanol (4:1 v/v + 1% NEt₃) as eluent in 62% yield (246 mg). mp 137– 139 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.99–7.92 (m, 3H), 7.85– 7.79 (m, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.44−7.28 (m, 6H), 7.14−7.08 (m, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (d, J = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 2.01 (broad s, NH, 1H), 1.21 (s, 3H), 0.82 (s, 3H) ppm. 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 156.6, 154.2, 153.2, 150.8, 143.3, 140.0, 134.1, 130.1, 129.0, 128.7, 128.6, 127.9, 127.5, 126.5, 125.5, 123.6, 123.0, 114.3, 113.3, 64.6, 56.1, 49.9, 27.7, 26.8 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 378 (100) [M-H⁺], 363 (67), 347 (34). HRMS (ESI) for C₂₆H₂₃N₂O calcd 379.1805, found 379.1807. HPLC conditions: Lux Celullose1, n-heptane/ethanol 99:1, 1.0 mL/min, $T_1 = 22.3$ min, $T_2 = 24.6$ min.

4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1yl)-2,3,3-trimethyl-6-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-pyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridine (32). Heterobiaryl 32 was prepared from 12 (175 mg, 0.6 mmol) following the General Procedure A, yielding the compound in 35% yield (83 mg). The crude product was purified by chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether/ethyl acetate $(3:1 \text{ v/v} +$ 1% NEt₃) as eluent. mp 88–89 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ =

7.99−7.94 (m, 2H), 7.94 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (dd, J = 6.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.43−7.25 (m, 7H), 7.14−7.10 (m, 1H), 4.05 (s, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 1.05 (s, 3H), 0.67 (s, 3H) ppm. 13C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ = 156.2, 154.2, 150.5, 149.7, 142.9 140.1, 134.2, 130.1, 129.0, 128.6 (2×), 127.8, 127.5, 126.5, 125.7, 123.6, 123.1, 114.1, 113.4, 65.3, 57.6, 56.2, 33.6, 22.1, 20.5 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 394 (100) [M], 365 (42), 309 (98), 189 (18). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{27}H_{27}N_2O$ calcd 395.2118, found 395.2123. HPLC conditions: Lux Cellulose2, *n*-heptane/ethanol 96:4, 1.0 mL/min, $T_1 = 6.5$ min, $T_2 = 7.4$ min.

4-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-6-phenyl-2-tosyl-2,3-dihydro-1Hpyrrolo[3,4-c]pyridine (33). Heterobiaryl 33 was prepared from 14 (163 mg, 0.38 mmol) following the General Procedure B (140 °C, 10 min). The crude product was purified via chromatography on silica gel (eluent: cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 4:1 v/v), yielding the product yielding the compound in 71% yield (143 mg). mp 234−235 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.96 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.93–7.88 (m, 2H), 7.85−7.80 (m, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.42− 7.26 (m, 8H), $7.04-6.99$ (m, 1H), 4.76 (d, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, $J =$ 14.4 Hz, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.21 (s, 3H) ppm. 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 156.9, 154.2, 151.3, 145.1, 143.3 140.1, 139.2, 138.0, 134.0, 130.7, 129.7 (2×), 129.0, 128.9, 128.8 (2×), 128.1, 127.5 (2×), 127.4 (2×), 126.8, 125.2, 123.7, 113.8, 113.2, 72.1, 56.1, 52.4, 27.8, 26.9, 21.6 ppm (one C atom could not be assigned). MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 534 (11) [M⁺], 520 (78), 519 (100), 363 (18), 333 (19), 323 (18). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{33}H_{31}N_2O_3S$ calcd 535.2050, found 535.2050.

1-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-3-phenyl-5,6-dihydro-7H-cyclopenta[c]pyridin-7-one (34) . The synthesis of 34 from 18 (58 mg, 0.22) mmol) according to the General Procedure A gave the product in 70% yield (51 mg). The crude product was purified by chromatography on silica gel with petrol ether/ethyl acetate $(4:1 \text{ v/v})$ as eluent. mp 120− 123 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.16−8.10 (m, 2H), 7.97 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.84 (dd, J = 6.0, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48−7.39 (m, 3H), 7.39 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 7.34−7.28 (m, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.34− 3.17 (m, 2H), 2.78–2.58 (m, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 203.0, 165.1, 160.8, 155.0, 154.8, 138.8, 133.1, 131.0, 130.8, 129.9, 129.4, 128.9, 128.3, 128.0, 126.7, 124.4, 123.5, 121.0, 117.2, 113.4, 56.7, 36.5, 25.6 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 365 (75) [M⁺], 350 (100), 337 (77), 308 (55), 207 (21), 174 (22). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{25}H_{20}NO_2$ calcd 366.1489, found 366.1484. Anal. Calcd for $C_{25}H_{19}NO_2$ (365.42): C 82.17, H 5.24 N 3.83. Found: C 82.22, H 5.27 N 3.67. HPLC conditions: Chiralcel OD-H, *n*-heptane/ethanol 98:2, 0.8 mL/min, $T_1 = 33.2$ min, $T_2 = 52.7$ min.

1-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-7-methylene-3-phenyl-6,7-dihydro-5H-cyclopenta[c]pyridine (35). Diine 22 (100 mg, 0.384 mmol), catalyst $[CpCo(trans-MeO₂CHC=CHCO₂Me){P(OEt)₃}]$ (9 mg, 0.019 mmol) and PhCN (0.2 mL, 1.92 mmol) were dissolved under inert conditions in dry toluene (10 mL) in a Schlenk flask. The reaction solution was heated to 100 °C for 7 h and after cooling, the solvent and all volatiles were removed and the residue on silica gel silica gel using nhexane/ethyl acetate $(4:1, v/v)$ as eluent, yielding 35 as a white solid (78) mg, 56%). According to the ¹H NMR contains the product ca. 20% of the compound with endo-isomerized double bond as byproduct [significant signals in the ¹H NMR spectra (CDCl₃): 5.75 ($q, J = 1.7$ Hz, 0.20 H), 3.89 (s, 0.64 H), 1.56 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 0.60 H)]. NMR data for 35: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.02 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.95 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.86−7.81 (m, 1H), 7.72 (dd, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.29 (m, 7H), 4.71 (dd, J = 2.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (dd, J = 2.5, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.11 (dd, J = 7.3, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.88–2.75 (m, 2H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 156.2, 154.3, 151.6, 147.2, 135.1, 133.0, 130.1, 129.5, 128.9, 128.7, 128.0, 127.5, 127.4, 126.8, 124.7, 123.9, 122.8, 116.7, 114.4, 107.4, 57.3, 32.2, 30.0 ppm (1 C atom could not be asigned). MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 363 (45) [M⁺], 348 (37), 332 (100). HRMS (ESI) for $C_{26}H_{22}NO$ calcd 364.1696, found 364.1701. Anal. Calcd for $C_{26}H_{21}NO (363.45): C 85.92, H 5.82 N 3.85. Found: C 85.38,$ H 5.68 N 3.76. HPLC conditions: Reprosil 100, n-heptane/ethanol 99.5:0.5, 1.0 mL/min, T_1 (20 °C) = 9.23 min, T_2 (20 °C) = 11.58 min.

1-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-3-phenyl-5H-indeno[1,2-c] pyridine-5-ol (36) and 1-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-3-phenyl-5H- indeno[1,2-c]pyridin-5-one (37) . The reaction of 25 $(477 \text{ mg}, 1.53)$ mmol) and PhCN (0.78 mL, 7.63 mmol) was accomplished following General Procedure B and furnished two products (36 and 37) with 14% yield of the diastereomeric alcohols 36 (88 mg) and 43% yield for 37 (271 mg) after chromatography on silica gel (eluent: cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2:1 v/v). The spectra of 36 were complex due to several sets of signals and have not been further investigated. The reaction using conventional heating (100 °C, 15.5 h) gave nearly identical results (36: 12% yield and 37: 41% yield). MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 413 (100) [M(−2H)⁺], 398 (40), 382 (24). HRMS (ESI) for C₂₉H₂₀NO₂ calcd 414.1489, found 414.1496. Compound 37: mp 239–241 °C. ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ = 8.13–8.06 (m, 3H), 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.94–7.88 (m, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51−7.32 (m, 7H), 7.20 (ddd, J = 7.4, 7.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (dd, J = 7.5, 7.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.34 (dd, J = 7.4, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 3.82 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 193.8, 159.3, 154.7, 150.3, 144.2, 142.6, 138.8, 136.0, 135.6, 134.0, 133.0, 131.1, 129.7, 129.5, 129.1, 128.9 (2 C), 128.3, 127.3 (3 C), 124.9, 124.6, 124.2, 122.7, 121.8, 113.7, 113.2, 56.8 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z (%) 413 (100) [M⁺], 398 (35), 382 (20), 258 (16), 170 (21). HRMS (EI) for $C_{29}H_{19}NO_2$ calcd 413.1410, found 413.1404. HPLC conditions: Chiralcel OJ-H, *n*-heptane/ethanol 95:5, 1.2 mL/min, $T_1 = 14.81$ min, T_2 = 23.51 min (20 °C) or Cellulose 2, *n*-heptane/isopropanol 95:5, 0.5 mL/min, $T_1 = 10.41$ min, $T_2 = 11.43$ min (20 °C).

1-(2-Methoxynaphthalen-1-yl)-3-phenyl-5H-indeno[1,2-c] pyridine (38). For the synthesis of compound 38, ketone 37 (100 mg, 0.242 mmol) was suspended together with the 4-fold amount of hydrazine hydrate (0.03 mL, 0.967 mmol) in diethylene glycol dimethyl ether (diglyme, 2.5 mL) in a microwave reaction vial. The vial was put in an microwave oven and heated to 180 °C for 4 h (200 W). After that reaction time the TLC control showed complete conversion of 36. For the workup ethyl acetate was added and the mixture washed with water several times, then with brine and finally dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$. Column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 2:1 $v/v + 2\% \text{ NEt}_3$) gave the product as syrupy solid in 83% yield (79 mg). Small impurities steaming from 37 are due to fast reoxidation of 38. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 8.13–8.08 (m, 2H), 8.06 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.49−7.26 (m, 6H), 7.20 (ddd, J $= 7.5, 7.5, 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.96 (dd, J = 7.7, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.47 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (s, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 155.1, 154.7, 153.7, 149.8, 142.9, 139.9, 139.6, 136.6, 133.4, 130.6, 129.5, 128.9, 128.8 (3 C), 128.1, 127.5 (2 C), 127.3, 127.1 (2 C), 126.9, 124.9, 124.8, 123.9, 122.4, 116.5, 114.0, 56.9, 37.3 ppm. MS (EI, 70 eV), m/z $(\%)$ 399 (100) [M⁺], 368 (23), 244 (26). HRMS (EI) for $C_{29}H_{21}NO$ calcd 399.1618, found 399.1609.

Procedure for the Enantioselective Photocatalyzed $[2 + 2 + 2]$ Cycloaddition of Diyne 25 with Benzonitrile Using the Chiral Co(I)- I_n and I_n as Catalyst.⁹ For performing this reaction we indenyl Complex 43 as Catalyst.⁹ For performing this reaction we followed the General Procedure A, reacting diyne 25 (100 mg, 0.32 mmol) and PhCN (0.17 mL, 1.60 mmol) in the presence of chiral catalyst 43 (10 mol%) in THF (10 mL) at 0 $°C$ for 47 h under irradiation. After workup of the reaction the crude product was purified twice by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: cyclohexane/ ethyl acetate 2:1 v/v) and provided 22 mg (17%) of 37. The identity of the material was confirmed by NMR and the chiral HPLC analysis gave the enantiomeric ratio of 72:28.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02190.

Additional experimental details for an attempted synthetic [approach towards a](http://pubs.acs.org) precurs[or for compound](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02190) 38, $^1\mathrm{H}$ and 13 C NMR spectra for all synthesized new compounds, data

and methods for the chiral HPLC analysis, data and coordinates for the performed calculations. (PDF)

Crystallographic data for compound 37. (CIF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: marko.hapke@catalysis.de.

Notes

The aut[hors declare no competing](mailto:marko.hapke@catalysis.de) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is gratefully dedicated to Prof. Dr. Uwe Rosenthal on the occasion of his 66th birthday and for his long-standing ample support of our work and many helpful discussions. We thank the LIKAT, the Leibniz-Gemeinschaft and the DFG (HA3511/3-1) for financial support. The excellent technical support from the analytical department (HPLC: Sebastian Smyczek, Susanne Schareina and Katrin Fiedler; NMR: Dipl.-Ing. Andreas Koch and Susann Buchholz; elemental analysis: Astrid Lehmann) is gratefully acknowledged. We would like to thank Dr. Anke Spannenberg for supplying the molecular structure of compound 37.

■ REFERENCES

 (1) Wolf, C. Dynamic Stereochemistry of Chiral Compounds—Principles and Applications; Royal Chemical Society: Cambridge, 2007.

(2) Bringmann, G.; Gü nther, C.; Ochse, M.; Schupp, O.; Tasler, S. In Progress in the Chemistry of Organic Natural Products; Herz, W., Falk, H., Kirby, G., Moore, R. E., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: Vienna; Vol. 82, pp 1− 293.

(3) Selected reviews: (a) McCarthy, M.; Guiry, P. J. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 3809−3844. (b) Shimizu, H.; Nagasaki, I.; Saito, T. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 5405–5432. (c) Börner, A., Ed.; *Phosphorus Ligands in* Asymmetric Catalysis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008.

(4) (a) Clayden, J.; Moran, W. J.; Edwards, P. J.; LaPlante, S. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6398−6401. (b) LaPlante, S. R.; Edwards, P. J.; Fader, L. D.; Jakalian, A.; Hucke, O. ChemMedChem 2011, 6, 505−513. (c) LaPlante, S. R.; Fader, L. D.; Fandrick, K. R.; Fandrick, D. R.; Hucke, O.; Kemper, R.; Miller, S. P. F.; Edwards, P. J. J. Med. Chem. 2011, 54, 7005−7022.

(5) (a) Bringmann, G.; Breuning, M.; Pfeifer, R.-M.; Schenk, W. A.; Kamikawa, K.; Uemura, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 661, 31−47. (b) Bringmann, G.; Tasler, S.; Pfeifer, R.-M.; Breuning, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2002, 661, 49−65. (c) Bringmann, G.; Price Mortimer, A. J.; Keller, P. A.; Gresser, M. J.; Garner, J.; Breuning, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5384−5427. (d) Bringmann, G.; Gulder, T.; Gulder, T. A. M.; Breuning, M. Chem. Rev. 2010, 111, 563−639.

(6) General reviews: (a) Ogasawara, M.; Watanabe, S. Synthesis 2009, 1761−1785. (b) Wang, H. Chirality 2010, 22, 827−837. Chiral Cu complexes: (c) Kozlowski, M. C.; Morgan, B. J.; Linton, E. C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3193−3207 and cited references. Chiral V complexes: (d) Takizawa, S.; Katayama, T.; Sasai, H. Chem. Commun. 2008, 4113− 4122 and references cited. Chiral Ru complexes: (e) Irie, R.; Katsuki, T. Chem. Rec. 2004, 4, 96−109.

(7) Overview on the early developments: (a) Baudoin, O. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 2005, 4223−4229. Recent review on the connection of biaryls: (b) Zhang, D.; Wang, Q. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2015, 286, 1−16.

(8) Tanaka, K. Transition-Metal-Mediated Aromatic Ring Construction; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, 2013, and references cited therein.

(9) (a) Gutnov, A.; Heller, B.; Fischer, C.; Drexler, H.-J.; Spannenberg, A.; Sundermann, B.; Sundermann, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3795−3797. (b) Hapke, M.; Kral, K.; Fischer, C.; Spannenberg, A.; Gutnov, A.; Redkin, D.; Heller, B. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3993−4003. (10) (a) Tucker, S. C.; Brown, J. M.; Oakes, J.; Thornthwaite, D. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 2545−2554. (b) Baker, R. W.; Rea, S. O.; Sargent, M. V.; Schenkelaars, E. M. C.; Tjahjandarie, T. S.; Totaro, A. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 3733−3743. (c) Clayden, J.; Fletcher, S. P.; McDouall, J. J. W.; Rowbottom, S. J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5331−5343.

(11) Chinchilla, R.; Najera, C. ́ Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 874−922.

(12) Kral, K.; Hapke, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 2856−2859.

(13) In a given diyne containing two terminal $C\equiv C$ triple bonds, one of which being substituted with an electron-withdrawing group, making the adjacent triple bond less electron-rich compared to the other, the Sonogashira reaction prefers the coupling with the more electron-rich bond. This phenomenon has been reported in the literature and the Negishi coupling as one possible loophole out of this synthetic quandary: Negishi, E.; Qian, M.; Zeng, F.; Anastasia, L.; Babinski, D. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1597−1600.

(14) The approach via prior transformation of 5 into the corresponding acid chloride proved to be troublesome, yielding in the subsequent esterification a related addition product as the major compound, see: Hapke, M.; Kral, K.; Spannenberg, A. Synthesis 2011, 642−652.

(15) Toyofuku, M.; Fujiwara, S.; Shin-ike, T.; Kuniyasu, H.; Kambe, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9706−9707.

(16) For the synthesis of 4-methyl-N-(2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl) benzenesulfonamide, see: Kim, S.; Chung, Y. K. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4352−4355.

(17) Alternatively, 13 can be prepared via the respective Weinreb amide synthesis: (a) Langille, N. F.; Jamison, T. F. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3761−3764. (b) Gabriele, B.; Salerno, G.; Fazio, A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7853−7861 and its reaction with magnesiated 2 as the alkyne nucleophil; however, the yields were varying and did not exceed 40%.

(18) It turned out, that the hydroxyl group in the perspective later C position is essential for the successful synthesis as well as cyclization of the diyne to prevent undesired side-reactions during the synthetic pathways. Either a keto group or a methylene group interfere with a convenient and reliable preparation of the diyne or the cyclization process. However, another investigated alternative approach to a cyclization precursor is described and commented in the Supporting Information.

(19) Thiel, I.; Spannenberg, A.; Hapke, M. ChemCatChem [2013](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02190/suppl_file/jo5b02190_si_001.pdf), 5, 2865−2868.

[\(20\) A gen](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02190/suppl_file/jo5b02190_si_001.pdf)eral trend towards higher yields in cycloadditions of the prepared diynes with acetonitrile cannot be derived, because the Mesubstituted analogues of 24 and 29 were prepared either in higher (24, 65% for Me instead of Ph or lower 29, 43% for Me instead of Ph) yield.

(21) According to a procedure under thermal conditions: DuPriest, M. T.; Schmidt, C. L.; Kuzmich, D.; Williams, S. B. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2021−2023.

 (22) Leaving the NMR sample in CDCl₃ solution standing around for several days on the bench increases the amount of 37 steadily, while the amount of 38 decreases as could be observed from the $^1{\rm H}$ NMR spectra.

(23) (a) Trapp, O.; Schoetz, G.; Schurig, V. Chirality 2001, 13, 403− 414. (b) D'Acquarica, I.; Gasparrini, F.; Pierini, M.; Villani, C.; Zappia, G. J. Sep. Sci. 2006, 29, 1508−1516. (c) Trapp, O. Electrophoresis 2010, 31, 786−813. (d) Trapp, O. Top. Curr. Chem. 2013, 341, 231−270.

(24) Meca, L.; Řeha, D.; Havlas, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5677–5680. (25) Selected references: (a) Brunel, J. M. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 857− 898. (b) Brunel, J. M. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, PR1−PR45. (c) Li, G.; Liu, F.; Wu, M. J. ARKIVOC 2015, 6, 140. (d) Yu, S.; Pu, L. Tetrahedron 2015, 71, 745−772.

(26) (a) Wolf, C.; König, W. A.; Roussel, C. Liebigs. Ann. 1995, 1995, 781−786. (b) Maier, F.; Trapp, O. Chirality 2013, 25, 126−132.

(27) (a) Eliel, E. L.; Wilen, S. H.; Mander, L. N. Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds; John Wiley and Sons, 1994; pp 1142−1155. (b) Wolf, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2005, 34, 595−608.

(28) Detailed information on the HPLC columns, separations and quantitative treatment is given in the Supporting Information.

(29) (a) Bü rkle, W.; Karfunkel, H.; Schurig, V. J. Chromatogr. 1984, 288, 1−14. (b) Thede, R.; Haberland, [D.; Fischer, C.; Below, E](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02190/suppl_file/jo5b02190_si_001.pdf).; Langer, S. H. J. Liq. Chromatogr. Relat. Technol. 1998, 21, 2089−2102. (c) Trapp, O.; Schurig, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 1424−1430. (d) Trapp, O. Anal. Chem. 2006, 78, 189−198. (e) Trapp, O. Chirality 2006, 18, 489− 497. (f) Trapp, O. J. Chromatogr. B: Anal. Technol. Biomed. Life Sci. 2008, 875, 42−47. (g) Maier, F.; Trapp, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 2985−2988.

(30) Anslyn, E. V.; Dougherty, D. A. Modern Physical Organic Chemistry; University Science Books: Sausalito, 2006; p 23.

(31) (a) Pedersen, J. R. Acta Chem. Scand. 1972, 26, 929−936. (b) Pedersen, J. R. Acta Chem. Scand. 1974, 28, 213−216.

(32) In the DMAP analogues the position of the pyridine nitrogen is not adjacent to the biaryl axis: (a) Spivey, A. C.; Fekner, T.; Spey, S. E.; Adams, H. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 9430−9443. (b) Spivey, A. C.; Charbonneau, P.; Fekner, T.; Hochmuth, D. H.; Maddaford, A.; Malardier-Jugroot, C.; Redgrave, A. J.; Whitehead, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7394−7401. (c) Malardier-Jugroot, C.; Spivey, A. C.; Whitehead, M. A. J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM 2003, 623, 263−276.

(33) Details of the calculations are given in the Supporting Information.

(34) Bönnemann, H.; Bogdanovič, B.; Brinkmann, R.; S[pliethoff, B.;](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02190/suppl_file/jo5b02190_si_001.pdf) He, D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1993, 451, 23−31.

[\(35\) Brand](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02190/suppl_file/jo5b02190_si_001.pdf)sma, L.; Vasilevsky, S. F.; Verkruijsse, S. F. Application of Transition Metal Catalysts in Organic Synthesis; Springer Verlag: Berlin, Germany, 1999.

(36) Iskra, J.; Stauber, S.; Zupan, M. Synthesis 2004, 2004, 1869−1873. (37) For the Sonogashira approach, see: (a) Wessig, P.; Müller, G. Chem. Commun. 2006, 4524−4526. (b) Nishida, G.; Noguchi, K.; Hirano, M.; Tanaka, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3951−3954. (c) For a different approach, see: Heller, B.; Gutnov, A.; Fischer, C.; Drexler, H.-J.; Spannenberg, A.; Redkin, D.; Sundermann, C.; Sundermann, B. Chem. - Eur. J. 2007, 13, 1117−1128.

(38) (a) Lee, J.-H.; Park, J.-W.; Kim, S.-H.; Kim, H.-K.; Chang, Y.-H.; Choi, S.-K. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 1996, 34, 1617−1621. (b) Barmettler, P.; Hansen, H.-J. Helv. Chim. Acta 1990, 73, 1515−1573. (39) Adams, T. C.; Dupont, A. C.; Carter, J. P.; Kachur, J. F.;

Guzewska, M. E.; Rzeszotarski, W. J.; Farmer, S. G.; Noronha-Blob, L.; Kaiser, C. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 1585−1593.

(40) Images of the photochemical setup can be found in the Supporting Information.